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ABSTRACT

Introduction Pain can affect people regardless of age, gender or ethnicity. Chronic central neuropathic pain (CCNP) is a debilitating condition that affects populations such as stroke survivors, amputees, spinal cord injury patients and patients with multiple sclerosis, with prevalence rates between 30% and 80%. This condition can be caused by a lesion or disease affecting the somatosensory system. CCNP is notoriously drug resistant, and few effective CCNP treatment or management strategies exist. The emergence of non-invasive brain stimulation and neuromodulation techniques provide novel avenues for managing chronic central neuropathic pain. This scoping review aims to systematically identify the methods and effectiveness of non-invasive brain stimulation techniques for treating and managing chronic central neuropathic pain.

Methods and analysis The following databases will be searched systematically: PubMed, EMBASE, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Institute of Electric and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), Association of Computing Machinery (ACM) and Scopus. Additional literature will be identified by searching the reference lists of included studies. Studies will include reviews and original research in both published and grey literatures. Two reviewers will independently screen identified studies for final inclusion. A quantitative analysis on the intervention type, application and efficacy will be synthesised along with a qualitative analysis to describe the effectiveness of each intervention.

Ethics and dissemination No primary data will be collected and hence formal ethics review is not required. The results of the scoping review will be presented at relevant national and international conferences, published in a peer-reviewed journal and provided to the stakeholders with plain language to be posted on their websites. This scoping review will provide a foundation to guide the development of future primary research on non-invasive brain stimulation and CCNP.

INTRODUCTION

Pain is a critical mechanism for harm prevention. However, the experience of chronic pain can dramatically reduce one’s quality of life, affecting the individual, their families and society at large. Pain is defined by the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) as: ‘an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such damage’. Specifically, the IASP defined neuropathic pain as ‘pain caused by a lesion or disease of the somatosensory nervous system’. Neuropathic pain is a clinical description (and not a diagnosis) that requires a demonstrable lesion or a disease that satisfies established neurological diagnostic criteria. The term lesion is commonly used in diagnostic investigations (eg, imaging, neurophysiology, biopsies and lab tests) to reveal an abnormality or obvious trauma. The term disease is commonly used when the underlying cause of the lesion is known (eg, stroke, vasculitis, diabetes mellitus and genetic abnormality). Somatosensory refers to information about the body including visceral organs.
The burden of disability associated with neuropathic pain is substantial and includes healthcare costs, time and quality of life. The health-related quality of life for people with neuropathic pain is comparable with cancer or chronic heart failure. In Canada, chronic pain involves healthcare costs that exceed $6 billion per year and productivity costs at $37 billion per year. Furthermore, an analysis of a large US insurance database revealed that healthcare costs of patients with neuropathic pain were three times greater than those of age-matched and sex-matched claimants without neuropathic pain.

Currently, there exists no treatment to prevent the development of neuropathic pain following injury to the somatosensory system, neither is there a method to specifically control the pain when it is established. Pharmacotherapy for neuropathic pain has been generally disappointing; patients with neuropathic pain do not respond well to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and resistance or insensitivity to opiates is common. Examples of pharmacological treatments include tricyclic or serotonin and norepinephrine uptake inhibitors, antidepressants and anticonvulsants, which all have limited efficacy and undesirable side effects. Ancillary treatments such as physical and psychological interventions are often used to help patients cope with pain, although they are less effective for severe pain.

Alternatively, a number of invasive and non-invasive stimulation techniques are increasingly being proposed either as a substitute for or in combination with current medical therapies. Peripheral stimulation techniques include: transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and nerve root stimulation (NRS). TENS involves surface electrodes placed over the painful area or its associated nerve, delivers stimulation at high frequency and low intensity to activate the Aβ afferents and evokes paraesthesia in the pain area, providing short-term (20–30 min) pain relief in accordance with the gate-control theory. NRS involves implantation of an electrode in the root exit from the spine. These peripheral stimulation techniques provide only temporary pain relief that lasts for the duration of the stimulation. Other invasive stimulation techniques include: spinal cord stimulation (SCS), deep brain stimulation (DBS) and motor cortex stimulation (MCS). These procedures involve the implantation of a stimulating device into their respective target areas: SCS targets the posterior thoracic space of the thoracic or cervical spine, DBS targets the sensory thalamus or periventricular grey matter and MCS targets the motor cortex. The effectiveness of these invasive techniques varies significantly across patients and their mechanisms of action are unclear. Furthermore, the techniques are only applicable to patients who can safely undergo surgery.

Examples of non-invasive brain stimulation techniques include: transcranial direct-current stimulation (tDCS), and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS). Both stimulation techniques are adjustable and reversible. tDCS has shown promising results in inducing cortical-plasticity with clinical benefits. It passes a weak (commonly ≤2 mA) monophasic electric current to the cerebral cortex through the scalp, modifying neuron membrane excitability, leading to neuroplasticity. A single tDCS session can induce transient cortical effects, but daily sessions may induce longer lasting effects. For rTMS, the stimulation is applied using a magnetic stimulation coil placed against the head. A rapidly changing magnetic field is induced in the coil that generates an electrical current in the cortical area below the coil. rTMS has been found to affect neuropathic pain processing. The clinical effects are modest and short-lasting from a single session, but repeated sessions may cause greater and longer lasting effects. There exists equivocal evidence and differing perspectives on the effects of rTMS; for instance, one study suggests that rTMS can produce long-lasting pain relief, while another states that its effects are uncertain and may be mediated by other factors such as mood. Given that non-invasive brain stimulation may relieve neuropathic pain, and the effect size is modest, there is significant heterogeneity between studies that should be further investigated.

There is a need for a systematic approach to summarise and evaluate the evidence for non-invasive brain stimulation techniques to chronic central neuropathic pain. This scoping review will fill this gap by summarising the breadth, depth and clinical applications of current non-invasive brain stimulation interventions for chronic central neuropathic pain, distilling the existing research to support the future development of primary research.

AIM
This article describes a protocol for a scoping review that will locate, summarise and report literature that informs the current and proposed non-invasive brain stimulation techniques to chronic central neuropathic pain, as well as identify areas to direct future research.

The scoping review will:

1. review the breadth and depth of peer-reviewed literature that has examined or evaluated the application of non-invasive brain stimulation techniques to chronic central neuropathic pain in technology and medical databases;
2. review the extent and nature of a sampling of non-peer-reviewed non-invasive brain stimulation interventions for chronic central neuropathic pain from key organisational websites, professional regulatory bodies and special interest organisations and disease-specific groups.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Our scoping team of reviewers will be multidisciplinary, comprising of clinician-researchers, engineering researchers and health researchers. The scoping review
methodology laid out by Arksey and O’Malley and further clarified by Levac et al will be used. The approach will review the existing literature and provide transparency, reproducibility and utility within this protocol.

**Identifying the research question**

The research question was framed by assimilating themes from preliminary searches, and opinions were sought from experts in the field of pain rehabilitation and neurotechnology. Using a concept, target population and outcomes of interest approach, we formulated a broad research question: ‘What are the nature, adherence, extent, efficacy, exposure, quality of delivery and clinical application of non-invasive brain stimulation techniques currently used and proposed in managing chronic central neuropathic pain?’.

**Eligibility criteria**

The following inclusion criteria were used to guide the search and will be used when reviewing articles:

- published in the English language
- human subjects with chronic central neuropathic pain
- years of publication: none specified
- all age groups
- articles that include at least one non-invasive brain stimulation intervention.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Commentaries
- editorials
- narrative reviews
- books and book chapters
- lectures and addresses
- animal studies.

**Types of study**

Meta-analysis, systematic reviews, randomised control trials, cohort studies, case–control studies, case series/case reports and cross-sectional trials.

**Databases**

Published studies will be identified from the following electronic database: PubMed, Embase, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, IEEE, ACM and Scopus. Additional literature will be identified by hand searching the reference list of identified eligible studies and as well through identified grey literature sources.

**Search strategy**

The in-depth search strategy has been developed in each of the six databases to capture the broad literature on the topic. In order to maximise the sensitivity of the search, the following steps will be taken: consult with experts in the field; search in clinical trials registers, conference proceedings and selected grey literature such as PhD theses; perform forward and backward citation tracking; contact the websites of key organisations; and hand-search journal references.

Please see a sample search strategy in PubMed as follows:


**Study selection**

Study screening will be reported and guided according to Levac et al’s framework and the reporting will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematics Reviews and Meta-analyses checklist and will be performed in four major stages. First, search results will be merged and duplicates will be removed via reference management software (EndNote V.X5). Second, a data extraction form based on the eligibility criteria described above will be developed by the research team. Third, a pilot test of this data extraction form will be performed: two reviewers will independently screen the first 25 titles, abstracts and grey literature of retrieved publications according to the eligibility criteria by using the data extraction form. Fourth, all eligible studies and those classified as unclear (needing more information) will be reviewed in full-text by each reviewer independently to determine if all inclusion criteria are met and if the article is to be included in the study. Inter-rater agreement will again be calculated on a random sample of 25 articles. Disagreement on study eligibility will be discussed and resolved with a third reviewer.

**Data extraction**

A customised data extraction form will be constructed to extract all relevant data from each study. Two reviewers will use the form to extract data from the first 15 eligible articles. Then they will meet to compare consistency of data extraction and coding. Clarification and updating of the extraction form will be an iterative process until all authors reach consensus on the final version. The data extraction form will be piloted on the first five eligible studies to evaluate its reliability in capturing the study data of interest. Data extraction will be undertaken independently by two reviewers.

Descriptive summary tables will be produced to recapitulate the evidence base. The following data will be extracted:

- author(s) and date
- geographical location
- research design
- aim
- research question
- methods
- settings
CONCLUSION

This scoping review will map key concepts and empirical results relating to the use of non-invasive brain stimulation to treat and manage chronic central neuropathic pain. It will also provide a comprehensive evaluation of current methodologies and identify gaps for future research and share the key research findings with relevant stakeholders.
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