

Predatory Publishing: Hidden Pitfalls with High Costs



Holland, L.¹, Johnson, S.², & Bredahl, L³.

¹Manager of Library Services, Southern College of Optometry, Memphis, TN, ²Director of Library Services, Marshall B. Ketchum University, Fullerton, CA, ³Librarian for Biology, and Optometry and Vision Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada

What is a Predatory Publisher

With little or no actual services or scholarly review being performed the sole purpose of these publishers is to make money through fraudulently charging authors fees, often guised as processing or open access fees.

However, most publishers that charge fees are not predatory therefore this can not be the sole characteristic of a predatory publisher.

Characteristics of a Predatory Publisher

publication services

LITTLE OR Charge processing fees for little NO publication services, meaning: Charge processing fees for little or no

- Limited or no editing of content
- Does not follow peer-review process or fraudulently mimics peer-review

Publishes on a rapid timeline: too few RAPID days/weeks between acceptance, editorial review, and publication.



Makes false claims about their publishing practices.

Has no intention of archiving content in perpetuity.



Predatory vs. Low Quality

Often individuals with good intentions start journals but do Often individuals with good not follow industry standards. These journals are not attempting to take advantage of authors, like predatory journals, but do have poor quality control.

Red Flags

These red flags can help you know what to watch out for!

- Copycat titles that resemble well known and reputable journals
- Includes out of scope articles
- Heavy solicitation for submissions and editorial board membership
- Editorial board members don't exist or didn't volunteer
- Poor grammar & punctuation in articles, websites and emails
- Recent start dates combined with a large number of journals covering an impractical range of topics
- Unreasonably fast "peer review" process
- Lacking adequate contact information, some examples:
- Only one person listed on website,
- Use of P.O. box or,
- Address belongs to another business/person
- The website lacks information that would illustrate why the publisher is qualified to be producing content.
- Questionable quality for journals already published
- Irregular publication schedules
- Inconsistent number of articles per issue

Perpetuating "Bad Science"

Publishing content that has not been vetted is bad for the author and bad for the institution. Future access becomes an issue if the journal has loose archiving practices. This can cause the author to lose control over their work and at worst it can be lost forever if the journal ceases to exist.

Protect Yourself

Use a Whitelist!

Whitelists have vetted journals using publication quality and standards.

The Association of Vision Science Librarians (AVSL) has created the Whitelist for Vision Science Journals covering optometry, ophthalmology, and vision science. See: AVSL Whitelist for Vision Science Journals, at avsl.org/resources/whitelist

> **AVSL WHITELIST** of Vision Science Journals

Think. Check. Submit. is a checklist to help determine the right journal for your research. It helps you know what types of questions to ask regarding a journal's quality. Many are similar to the red flags that we have identified here. This tool draws from:

- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)
- Open Access Scholarly Publishers Association, and
- The Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ)
- And additional internationally recognized vetted lists of journals.

Think. Check. Submit logo used with permission.

