

K-Theory for C^* -Algebras and for
Topological Spaces

by

Rui Philip Xiao

A thesis
presented to the University of Waterloo
in fulfilment of the
thesis requirement for the degree of
Master of Mathematics
in
Pure Mathematics

Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 2015

©Rui Philip Xiao 2015

Author's Declaration

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of this thesis. This is a true copy of the thesis, including any required final revisions, as accepted by my examiners.

I understand that my thesis may be made electronically available to the public.

Abstract

K-theory is the study of a collection of abelian groups that are invariant to C^* -algebras or to locally compact Hausdorff spaces. These groups are useful for distinguishing C^* -algebras and topological spaces, and they are used in classification programs. In the thesis we will focus attention on the abelian groups $K_0(A)$ and $K^0(X)$ for a C^* -algebra A and for a locally compact Hausdorff space X . The group $K_0(C(X))$ is naturally isomorphic to $K^0(X)$ whenever X is a locally compact Hausdorff space. The maps K_0 and K^0 are covariant and contravariant functors respectively, they satisfy some functorial properties that are useful for computation.

Acknowledgement

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my advisors Dr. Ken Davidson and Dr. Spiro Karigiannis for their guidance, support and patience. Their expertise in their respective fields was immensely helpful to my research.

I would like to thank Dr. Laurent Marcoux who gave me the opportunity to participate in the amenable algebra seminar, and also helped in correcting my thesis.

My appreciation goes also to other teachers that have taught me mathematics, especially Dr. Ruxandra Moraru, Dr. Ross Willard, Dr. Nico Spronk, Dr. David McKinnon, Dr. Kevin Purbhoo, Dr. Jason Bell and Dr. Brian Forrest who have shaped the greater body of my mathematical knowledge. A special thanks goes to Dr. David Jao, who taught the first year introductory course that convinced me to take my path in pure mathematics.

I would also like to thank my good friends Ritvik Ramkumar, Michael Baker, Steven Scott, Kevin Matthews, Sam Eisenstat, Jimmy He, Edward Lee, Jason Lin, Wenbo Gao, Victor Fan and Chenfei Du, who provided insightful discussions and friendly support over the years.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my mother Yang Yang and my Father Yuankai Xiao for treating me with love and cherish, and giving me the warmth of a family.

Contents

Author's Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
1 Introduction	1
2 K-theory of C*-algebras	2
3 Unitaries and projections	9
4 K_0 as a functor	17
5 K_0 of general C*-algebras	20
6 Functorial properties of K_0	27
6.1 Homotopy invariance	27
6.2 Half- and split-exactness	28
7 K-theory of compact Hausdorff spaces	33
8 $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$	40
9 K-theory of locally compact spaces	47
9.1 Relative and reduced K-theory	50
10 Functorial properties of K^0	52
10.1 Homotopy invariance	52
10.2 Half-exactness of \tilde{K}^0	54
11 What's next	57
References	58

1 Introduction

The K-theory of C*-algebras is the study of a collection of abelian groups $K_n(A)$ that are invariants of a C*-algebra A for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper we will focus on the group $K_0(A)$. The map K_0 taking a C*-algebra to an abelian group can be viewed as a covariant functor from the category of C*-algebras to the category of abelian groups with some additional properties. We will follow [4] for this part of the theory.

The K-theory is useful in distinguishing C*-algebras. The class of AF-algebras is completely classified by their K_0 groups. In general, the K_0 group is not a complete invariant for all C*-algebras, but it is an important part of the classification program of C*-algebras.

Topological K-theory is the “original version” of K-theory, introduced by Sir Michael Atiyah. We will follow his classical text [1]. Topological K-theory is the study of a collection of abelian groups $K^n(X)$ that are invariants of a locally compact Hausdorff space X . Unlike the case of C*-algebras, the map K^0 is a contravariant functor from the category of locally compact Hausdorff spaces to the category of abelian groups.

It is well-known that there is a contravariant functor mapping the category of unital C*-algebras bijectively onto the category of compact Hausdorff spaces that reverses the direction of morphisms. We will see that $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$ for every compact Hausdorff space X . Furthermore, the functors K_0 and K^0 preserve morphisms by reversing their directions. This result can be extended to non-unital C*-algebras and locally compact Hausdorff spaces, where $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C_0(X))$ for every locally compact Hausdorff space X . This correspondence is explained in [6].

The reader is assumed to be familiar with the basics of C*-algebras and topological bundles. If one needs a review on these subjects, we recommend [2] for C*-algebras and the introductory chapter of [6] for vector bundles.

2 K-theory of C*-algebras

Definition 2.1. Let A be a C*-algebra. For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_{m,n}(A)$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in A . If $m = n$, write $M_{n,n}(A) = M_n(A)$, then $M_n(A)$ is a C*-algebra with the involution $(a^*)_{ij} = (a_{ji})^*$.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a C*-algebra. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ to be the set of all projections in $M_n(A)$. For $n \leq m$, there is a natural embedding of $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ into $\mathcal{P}_m(A)$ given by

$$p \mapsto \text{Diag}(p, 0_{m-n}) = p \oplus 0_{m-n}.$$

Define $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A) = \varinjlim_n \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ as the direct limit of this inclusion. We can also think of it as $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{P}_n(A)$.

Note 2.3. It might be more notationally clear to write p as an element in $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $[p]$ denote its equivalence class in the direct limit $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$. But there are two more equivalence relations to be quotiented by later, and to save ourselves from the nested square brackets, p will denote a finite matrix as well as its equivalence class in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$, or, an $\aleph_0 \times \aleph_0$ matrix with finitely many non-zero entries.

Definition 2.4. Let \sim_0 be the relation on $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ given by the following: for $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(A)$, we say $p \sim_0 q$ if there exists $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. The relation \sim_0 is called the **Murray - von Neumann equivalence**.

Remark 2.5. A matrix $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that v^*v and vv^* are both projections is called a partial isometry. In the special case that $A = B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H , then v is a partial isometry if and only if it maps $(\ker v)^\perp$ isometrically onto $\text{im } v$. If T is a partial isometry in $B(H)$, then TT^* is the projection onto $\text{im } T$ and T^*T is the projection onto $(\ker T)^\perp$.

Example 2.6. Let H be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Since $H \cong H \oplus H$, there exists some $T \in B(H \oplus H)$ such that $T|_{H \oplus 0}$ is an isometry from $H \oplus 0$ onto $H \oplus H$, and $T|_{0 \oplus H} = 0$. Then $TT^* = I_{H \oplus H}$ and $T^*T = P_{H \oplus 0}$. Note that T can be considered as an element in $B(H \oplus H)$ as well as an element in $M_2(B(H))$. In the latter case

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $T_1, T_2 \in B(H)$. If we let $S = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \end{bmatrix}$, then $SS^* = I_1 \in M_1(B(H))$ and $S^*S = I_2 \in M_2(B(H))$. So $I_2 \sim_0 I_1$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, let $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(A)$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose there exists $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$ for which $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. Then $v = qv = vp = qvp$.*

Proof. Let $w = (1 - q)v$, then

$$w^*w = v^*(1 - q)(1 - q)v = v^*(1 - q)v = v^*v - v^*vv^*v = p - pp = 0.$$

However $\|w\|^2 = \|w^*w\| = 0$, which implies that $w = 0$. So $0 = w = v - qv$. This implies that $v = qv$. The case $v = pv$ is proved similarly. Lastly,

$$qvp = (qv)p = vp = v. \blacksquare$$

Proposition 2.8. *The relation \sim_0 is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$.*

Proof. It is not yet clear that \sim_0 is well-defined on $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$, since $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ is a direct limit, where $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ can also be represented by $p \oplus 0_k$ in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$, for any $k \geq 0$. We will show that \sim_0 is an equivalence relation on $\bigsqcup_{r=1}^\infty \mathcal{P}_r(A)$, and also satisfies $p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_k$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$, $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. Then for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$, $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(A)$ and $k, k' \geq 0$, have $p \sim_0 q$ if and only if

$$p \oplus 0_k \sim_0 p \sim_0 q \sim_0 q \oplus 0_{k'}.$$

So \sim_0 descends to an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$. To this end, let $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$, $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(A)$ and $r \in \mathcal{P}_l(A)$ for some $l, m, n \geq 1$.

To show $p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_k$, let $v = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0_{n \times k} \end{bmatrix}$, then $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = p \oplus 0_k$. The special case with $k = 0$ verifies reflexivity.

Suppose there exists $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. Let $w = v^* \in M_{n,m}(A)$. We have

$$w^*w = q \text{ and } ww^* = p.$$

So \sim_0 is symmetric.

Suppose $p \sim_0 q$ and $q \sim_0 r$. Then there exists some $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$ and $u \in M_{l,m}(A)$ for which

$$v^*v = p, \quad vv^* = q, \quad u^*u = q \text{ and } uu^* = r$$

hold. Let $z = uv$. Using Lemma 2.7, the following computations hold.

$$z^*z = v^*u^*uv = v^*qv = v^*v = p,$$

$$zz^* = uvv^*u^* = uqu^* = r.$$

Thus $p \sim_0 r$, which proves transitivity. ■

Definition 2.9. Let A be a C*-algebra and p, q projections in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$. We say that p and q are **mutually orthogonal** if $pq = 0$, written $p \perp q$.

Remark 2.10. If $p \perp q$ then

$$qp = q^*p^* = (pq)^* = 0^* = 0,$$

so $q \perp p$. And also,

$$(p + q)^* = p^* + q^* = p + q$$

$$(p + q)(p + q) = pp + pq + qp + qq = pp + qq = p + q.$$

So $p + q$ is also a projection in A .

In the special case that $A = B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H and $P, Q \in B(H)$ are projections, we have $P \perp Q$ if and only if $\text{ran } P \perp \text{ran } Q$.

Proposition 2.11. Let $p, p', q, q' \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$. Then

1. $p \oplus q \sim_0 q \oplus p$.
2. $p \sim_0 p'$ and $q \sim_0 q'$ implies $p \oplus q \sim_0 p' \oplus q'$.
3. $(p \oplus q) \oplus r = p \oplus (q \oplus r)$.
4. Suppose p and q are represented by matrices of the same size, and $p \perp q$, then $p + q \sim_0 p \oplus q$.

Proof. 1. Suppose p is $n \times n$ and q is $m \times m$. Let $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times m} & p \\ q & 0_{m \times n} \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$v^*v = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{m \times n} & q^* \\ p^* & 0_{n \times m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times m} & p \\ q & 0_{m \times n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q^*q & 0_{m \times n} \\ 0_{n \times m} & p^*p \end{bmatrix} = q \oplus p;$$

$$vv^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times m} & p \\ q & 0_{m \times n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{m \times n} & q^* \\ p^* & 0_{n \times m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} pp^* & 0_{n \times m} \\ 0_{m \times n} & qq^* \end{bmatrix} = q \oplus p.$$

So $q \oplus p \sim_0 p \oplus q$.

2. Suppose $v^*v = p$, $vv^* = p'$, $w^*w = q$ and $ww^* = q'$, then

$$(v \oplus w)^*(v \oplus w) = p \oplus q$$

and

$$(v \oplus w)(v \oplus w)^* = p' \oplus q'.$$

So $p \oplus q \sim_0 p' \oplus q'$.

3. This is by definition.

4. Suppose p and q are of the same size and $pq = 0$. Let $v = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$vv^* = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} = pp + qq = p + q,$$

$$v^*v = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} pp & pq \\ qp & qq \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{bmatrix} = p \oplus q.$$

So $p + q \sim_0 p \oplus q$. ■

Definition 2.12. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Define $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{P}_\infty(A) / \sim_0$. The equivalence class of p in $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is written $[p]_{\mathcal{D}}$. Equip $\mathcal{D}(A)$ with an operation $+$ by $[p]_{\mathcal{D}} + [q]_{\mathcal{D}} = [p \oplus q]_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Proposition 2.13. $(\mathcal{D}(A), +)$ is an abelian monoid.

Proof. This is mostly a consequence of Proposition 2.11. Point 2 implies that the operation $+$ is well-defined after quotienting by \sim_0 . Point 3 implies that $+$ is associative. Point 1 implies that it is commutative. So $(\mathcal{D}(A), +)$ is an abelian semigroup. Now we claim that $[0_1]_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the identity element (note that $0_n \sim_0 0_m$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 2.8). To this end, take any $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$. By point 1 of Proposition 2.11 and Proposition 2.8,

$$0_1 \oplus p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_1 \sim_0 p,$$

so

$$[0_1]_{\mathcal{D}} + [p]_{\mathcal{D}} = [p]_{\mathcal{D}} + [0_1]_{\mathcal{D}} = [p]_{\mathcal{D}}. \blacksquare$$

From the abelian monoid $\mathcal{D}(A)$ we will construct an abelian group, by a construction called the **Grothendieck completion**.

Definition 2.14. Let $(S, +)$ be an abelian semigroup, then $S \times S$ is also naturally a semigroup. Let \sim be a relation on $S \times S$ given by $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$ if there exists $x \in S$ so that

$$a_1 + b_2 + x = a_2 + b_1 + x.$$

Define $G(S) = (S \times S) / \sim$, and equip it with the operation $+$ by

$$[(a, b)] + [(c, d)] = [(a + c, b + d)].$$

Proposition 2.15. *The above construction is well-defined, and $G(S)$ is an abelian group. Furthermore, if S is an abelian monoid with identity element 0 , then $\varphi : S \rightarrow G(S)$ by $\varphi(s) = [(s, 0)]$ is a monoid homomorphism.*

Proof. It is easy to see that \sim is an equivalence relation on $S \times S$. To see that $+$ is well-defined on $G(S)$, let $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in S$ for $i = 1, 2$, and suppose that $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$ and $(c_1, d_1) \sim (c_2, d_2)$. Then there exists $x, y \in S$ such that

$$a_1 + b_2 + x = a_2 + b_1 + x \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 + d_2 + y = c_2 + d_1 + y.$$

Then

$$(a_1 + c_1) + (b_2 + d_2) + (x + y) = (a_2 + c_2) + (b_2 + d_2) + (x + y),$$

so $[(a_1 + c_1, b_2 + d_2)] = [(a_2 + c_2, b_2 + d_2)]$.

Since $+$ is associative and commutative on S , the addition induced on $G(S)$ is associative and commutative as well. For $a, b, c, d \in S$, it is clear that $[(a, a)] = [(b, b)]$. Furthermore

$$[(c, d)] + [(a, a)] = [(c + a, d + a)] = [(c, d)].$$

So (a, a) is the identity element of $G(S)$. Also,

$$[(a, b)] + [(b, a)] = [(a + b, a + b)],$$

so $[(b, a)]$ is the inverse of $[(a, b)]$. Hence $G(S)$ is indeed an abelian group.

Now suppose that S is an abelian monoid with 0 , and $\varphi : S \rightarrow G(S)$ by $\varphi(s) = [(s, 0)]$. Then it is clear that $\varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ and that $\varphi(0)$ is the identity element of $G(S)$. ■

It is convenient to think of $[(a, b)] \in G(S)$ as “ $a - b$ ”.

Example 2.16. 1. $S = \mathbb{N}$. Then $G(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{Z}$. This is the standard construction of \mathbb{Z} .

2. $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. For any $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$,

$$a + c + \infty = \infty = b + d + \infty,$$

so $[(a, b)] = [(c, d)]$. Hence $G(S) \cong \{0\}$. This example demonstrates why we required the x in defining \sim in Definition 2.14, where $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$ if and only if there exists x for which $a_1 + b_2 + x = a_2 + b_1 + x$. Suppose for instance we define another relation \sim_{bad} on S by $(a_1, b_1) \sim_{\text{bad}} (a_2, b_2)$ if $a_1 + b_2 = a_2 + b_1$. For any $a, b \in S$, we have

$$\infty + a = \infty = b + \infty,$$

so $(\infty, \infty) \sim_{\text{bad}} (a, b)$. In particular, $(1, 1) \sim_{\text{bad}} (\infty, \infty) \sim_{\text{bad}} (1, 2)$, but clearly $(1, 1) \not\sim_{\text{bad}} (1, 2)$, which shows that \sim_{bad} is not an equivalence relation! This is the same problem that one runs into when asking “Surely $\infty + \infty = \infty$, but what is $\infty - \infty$?”

Now we are ready to give the definition of the K_0 group of a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition 2.17. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Define $K_0(A) = G(\mathcal{D}(A))$. Define the map $[\cdot]_0 : \mathcal{P}_\infty(A) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ by $[p]_0 = \varphi([p]_{\mathcal{D}})$ where $\varphi : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{D}(A))$ is the monoid homomorphism defined in Proposition 2.15.

Example 2.18. 1. Let $A = \mathbb{C}$. All projections in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ are projection matrices. Take $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$. We may assume that p and q are both $n \times n$. Suppose p and q have the same rank $k \leq n$, and let $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\text{ran } p$ and extend it to an orthonormal basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n ; let $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\text{ran } q$. Let $v \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix that takes z_j to w_j for $j = 1, \dots, k$, and takes z_j to 0 for all $j = k + 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$v^*vz_j = \begin{cases} v^*w_j = z_j & : j = 1, \dots, k \\ v^*0 = 0 & : j = k + 1, \dots, n \end{cases}.$$

So v^*v is the projection onto $\text{ran } p$, hence $v^*v = p$. Similarly, $vv^* = q$, so $p \sim_0 q$.

Conversely suppose $p \sim_0 q$. Then there exists a matrix v for which $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. Since row rank and column rank coincide, we have

$$\text{rank } p = \text{rank } v^*v = \text{rank } vv^* = \text{rank } q.$$

Hence $p \sim_0 q$ if and only if p and q have the same rank. Furthermore it is clear that $\text{rank } p + \text{rank } q = \text{rank}(p \oplus q)$. Thus $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong G(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

2. Let $A = M_m(\mathbb{C})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the C^* -algebra $M_n(A)$ is naturally a subalgebra of $M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$, and the rank argument from above works just as well. Hence $K_0(M_m(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

3. Let $A = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for \mathcal{H} an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. The same rank argument works since every two Hilbert spaces of the same dimension are isometric. So projections in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ are once again determined up to Murray - von Neumann equivalence by their dimensions, and $\mathcal{D}(A) \cong \{\dim p : p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)\}$. Since \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional, $\mathcal{D}(A)$ has a largest element $\alpha_0 = \dim \mathcal{H}$ since $\dim(\mathcal{H}^n) = \dim \mathcal{H}$ for all finite n , and $\alpha_0 + \alpha = \alpha_0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. So by the same argument in part 2 of Example 2.16, have $K_0(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) = G(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))) = 0$.

To summarize,

$$K_0(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & : \dim \mathcal{H} < \aleph_0 \\ 0 & : \dim \mathcal{H} \geq \aleph_0 \end{cases}$$

3 Unitaries and projections

In this section we develop some properties of unitary and projection elements in a C^* -algebra. These will be necessary for exploring meaningful properties of the K_0 -group of C^* -algebras.

From here on \tilde{A} denotes the unitization of the C^* -algebra A . For more information on unitization, see [2].

Definition 3.1. Let X be a topological space and $x, y \in X$. Say x and y are **homotopy equivalent** in X , written $x \sim_h y$, if there exists a continuous path $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha(0) = x$ and $\alpha(1) = y$.

Definition 3.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra, and $a, b \in A$. We say a is **unitarily equivalent** to b , written $a \sim_u b$, if there exists a unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$ such that $uau^* = b$. It is clear that these are equivalence relations.

Definition 3.3. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, define $\mathcal{U}(A)$ to be the group of unitary elements in A , and define $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ to be all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u \sim_h 1$. That is, $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ is the path-connected component of 1 in $\mathcal{U}(A)$.

Definition 3.4. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let $a \in A$. The spectrum $\sigma(a)$ of a is defined to be

$$\sigma(a) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda 1 \text{ is not invertible in } A\}.$$

The general theory of spectrum and of continuous functional calculus can be found in [2].

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. If $\sigma(u) \neq \mathbb{T}$, then $u \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\sigma(u) \neq \mathbb{T}$. Let $w \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$ and let $\log_w : \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the branch of logarithm that avoids the ray containing w . Then $\exp(\log_w(z)) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{w\} \supseteq \sigma(u)$, so $\exp(\log_w(u)) = u$. Let $h = \log_w(u)$, then

$$\sigma(h) \subseteq \log_w(\mathbb{T} \setminus w) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}.$$

For $t \in [0, 1]$, let $h_t = th$. Clearly $\sigma(th) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, so $\sigma(\exp(th)) \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, which implies that $\exp(th)$ is unitary for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Furthermore the map $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ mapping $\beta(t) = \exp(th)$ is a continuous path of unitaries from $1_A \in A$ to $u \in A$. Hence $u \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$. ■

Lemma 3.6 (Whitehead). *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. Then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} uv & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} vu & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } \mathcal{U}(M_2(A)).$$

Proof. Since $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has spectrum $\{\pm 1\}$, by Lemma 3.5 have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(A))$ be a path from $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Define $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(A)$ by

$$\beta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha(t) \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha(t).$$

Since for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $\beta(t)$ is the product of four unitaries, so β is in fact a path in $\mathcal{U}(M_2(A))$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta(1) = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} uv & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} uv & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By symmetry and transitivity, it is only left to prove that

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix}.$$

This can be accomplished by defining the path

$$\gamma(t) = \alpha(t) \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \alpha(t). \blacksquare$$

Corollary 3.7. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, then $\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(A))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} uu^* & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \blacksquare$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. If $\|u - 1\| < 2$ then $u = \exp(ih)$ for some self-adjoint element $h \in A$.*

Proof. If $\|u - 1\| < 2$ then $\sigma(u - 1) \subseteq B_2(0)$, in particular $-2 \notin \sigma(u - 1)$, so $-1 \notin \sigma(u)$. Since $\sigma(u) \neq \mathbb{T}$, by the proof of Lemma 3.5, $u = \exp(s)$ for some $s \in A$ with $\sigma(s) \in i\mathbb{R}$. Let $h = -is$, then h is self-adjoint and $\exp(ih) = \exp(s) = u$. \blacksquare

Proposition 3.9. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{U}_0(A) = \{\exp(ih_1) \dots \exp(ih_l) : l \in \mathbb{N}, h_j \in A \text{ self-adjoint}\}.$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$. A continuous path from u to 1 can be partitioned into segments

$$u = u_0 \sim_h u_1 \sim_h \dots \sim_h u_k = 1$$

where $\|u_{j-1} - u_j\| < 2$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Now apply induction on k . For $k = 1$, $\|u - 1\| < 2$, and the result follows Lemma 3.8. Suppose the result is true for $k = n - 1$, and the inductive step for n has been completed. Then $u_1 = \exp(ih_1) \dots \exp(ih_l)$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and h_j self-adjoint. Because $\|u - u_1\| < 2$, so

$$\|uu_1^* - 1\| = \|(u - u_1)u_1^*\| = \|u - u_1\| < 2.$$

By Lemma 3.8, there exists a self-adjoint element $h_0 \in A$ such that $uu_1^* = \exp(ih_0)$. Then

$$u = \exp(ih_0)u_1 = \exp(ih_0) \exp(ih_1) \dots \exp(ih_l).$$

This completes the induction.

Conversely if h is self-adjoint, the proof of Lemma 3.5 implies that $\exp(ih) \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$. The product of such unitaries is also homotopic to the identity. Thus all elements in $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ are indeed equal to finite products as in the claim. ■

Proposition 3.10. *Let A, B be unital C^* -algebras, $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ a surjective $*$ -homomorphism. Then*

1. $\varphi(\mathcal{U}_0(A)) = \mathcal{U}_0(B)$
2. For any $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$, there exists $v \in \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(A))$ such that

$$\varphi(v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. 1. Since φ takes unitaries to unitaries, $\varphi(\mathcal{U}_0(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_0(B)$. The converse requires some work. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_0(B)$. By Proposition 3.9, there exists hermitian elements $h_1, \dots, h_l \in B$ such that

$$u = \exp(ih_1) \exp(ih_2) \dots \exp(ih_l).$$

Let $t_1, \dots, t_l \in A$ such that $\varphi(t_j) = h_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$, and let $\tilde{t}_j = \frac{1}{2}(t_j + t_j^*)$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$. Then \tilde{t}_j are self-adjoint, and

$$\varphi(\tilde{t}_j) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(t_j) + \varphi(t_j)^*) = \frac{1}{2}(h_j + h_j) = h_j.$$

Let

$$v = \exp(i\tilde{t}_1) \dots \exp(i\tilde{t}_l).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.5 implies that $v \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$. And happily, $\varphi(v) = u$.

2. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$. By Corollary 3.7 $\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(B))$. Then by part 1 there exists some $v \in \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(A))$ such that $\varphi(v) = u \oplus u^*$. ■

Definition 3.11. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $a \in A$. Then $\sigma(a^*a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, where the square root function is defined. So we may define $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra.*

1. *If $z \in GL(A)$, then $|z| \in GL(A)$, and $w(z) := z|z|^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}(A)$.*
2. *The map $w : GL(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ defined in 1. is continuous. And $w(u) = u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$.*
3. *If $a, b \in GL(A)$ with $a \sim_h b$ in $GL(A)$, then $w(a) \sim_h w(b)$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$.*

Proof. 1. Suppose z is invertible. Then z^* is also invertible, so $z^*z \in GL(A)$. It follows that

$$\sigma(|z|) = \sigma((z^*z)^{1/2}) = \{t^{1/2} : t \in \sigma(z^*z)\} \not\cong 0.$$

Thus $|z|$ is invertible.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} w(z)w(z)^* &= z|z|^{-1}(z|z|^{-1})^* = z|z|^{-1}|z|^{-1}z^* \\ &= z(z^*z)^{-1}z^* = zz^{-1}(z^*)^{-1}z^* = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $w(z)^*w(z) = 1$. So $w(z) \in \mathcal{U}(A)$.

2. The map $a \mapsto a^*a$ is continuous. Also inversion and multiplication are continuous in $GL(A)$. So to prove the claim it is sufficient to prove that $a \mapsto a^{1/2}$ is continuous on $A_{\geq 0}$, where $A_{\geq 0}$ is the set of normal elements in A with spectrum contained in $[0, \infty)$.

Suppose we fix $a \in A_{\geq 0}$ and let U be a bounded open neighbourhood containing $\sigma(a)$. The upper-semicontinuity of spectra [5] implies that there is some $d > 0$ such that if $b \in A$ and $\|b - a\| < d$ then $\sigma(b) \subseteq U$. Thus the problem reduces to proving that the square root map is continuous on $\Omega_r \subseteq A_{\geq 0}$ where

$$\Omega_r = \{a \in A : a^*a = aa^*, \sigma(a) \subseteq [0, r]\}.$$

Let f denote the square root function and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, there exists a complex polynomial g such that

$\|g - f\|_\infty < \varepsilon/3$ on $[0, r]$. For $c \in \Omega_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(c) - g(c)\| &= \|(f - g)(c)\| \\ &= \sup\{|(f - g)(z)| : z \in \sigma(c)\} \\ &\leq \|f - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore g is continuous on Ω_t since $a \mapsto a^n$ is continuous. So there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|g(a) - g(b)\| < \varepsilon/3$ whenever $a, b \in A$ with $\|a - b\| < \delta$. Thus when $a, b \in \Omega_t$ with $\|a - b\| < \delta$, have $\|f(a) - f(b)\| < \varepsilon$.

3. Let $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow GL(A)$ be a continuous path from a to b . Then by part 2, $w \circ \alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ is a continuous path from $w(a)$ to $w(b)$. ■

For an element $z \in A$, the form $z = w(z)|z|$ is called the **polar decomposition** of z .

Definition 3.13. The relations \sim_u and \sim_h induce equivalence relations on $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ as follows: $p \sim_u q$, if by representing p and q both as $n \times n$ matrices for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unitary element $u \in \widetilde{M_n(A)}$ such that $u^*pu = q$. We say that $p \sim_h q$ if by representing p and q both as $n \times n$ matrices for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a path $\alpha(t)$ in $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ such that $\alpha(0) = p$ and $\alpha(1) = q$.

Proposition 3.14. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, $a, b \in A$ self-adjoint elements, $z \in GL(A)$ and $z = u|z|$ the polar decomposition of z . If $za = bz$ then $ua = bu$.

Proof. Since a and b are self-adjoint, take the adjoint of the equality to have $az^* = z^*b$. Then

$$|z|^2a = z^*za = z^*bz = az^*z = a|z|^2.$$

So a commutes with $|z|^2$. Consequently a commutes with $g(|z|^2)$ for all complex polynomials g . By Stone-Weierstrass theorem, the element $|z|^{-1} = ((|z|^2)^{1/2})^{-1}$ is the limit of a sequence of polynomials in $|z|^2$. Hence a commutes with $|z|^{-1}$. It follows that

$$uau^* = z|z|^{-1}au^* = za|z|^{-1}u^* = bz|z|^{-1}u^* = buu^* = b. \blacksquare$$

Proposition 3.15. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$. Then

1. $p \sim_h q$ implies $p \sim_u q$.
2. $p \sim_u q$ implies $p \sim_0 q$.
3. $p \sim_0 q$ implies $p \oplus 0_n \sim_u q \oplus 0_n$.
4. $p \sim_u q$ implies $p \oplus 0_n \sim_h q \oplus 0_n$.

Proof. 1. Let $\alpha(t)$ be a path in $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ that connects p to q , then we can partition the path into segments of length less than $1/2$. It is now sufficient to prove that if $\|p - q\| < 1/2$ then $p \sim_u q$. Let $z = pq + (I - p)(I - q) \in \widetilde{A}$, and $pz = pq = zq$. Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z - I\| &= \|pq + (I - p)(I - q) - I\| \\
&= \|pq + (I - p)(I - q) - p - (I - p)\| \\
&= \|p(q - p) + (I - p)((I - q) - (I - p))\| \\
&= \|p(q - p) + (I - p)(p - q)\| \\
&\leq \|p\|\|q - p\| + \|I - p\|\|p - q\| \\
&\leq 2\|p - q\| < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $z \in GL(A)$. Let $z = u|z|$ be the polar decomposition of z . By Proposition 3.14, $pu = uq$.

2. Suppose $p \sim_u q$. Then there exists some unitary $u \in \widetilde{M_n(A)}$ such that $u^*pu = q$. Let $v = u^*p$, then $vv^* = u^*ppu = q$ and $v^*v = puu^*p = pp = p$. Also note that $v = u^*p \in M_n(A)$ since $M_n(A)$ is an ideal in $\widetilde{M_n(A)}$. Hence $p \sim_0 q$.

3. Suppose there exists $v \in M_n(A)$ such that $vv^* = q$ and $v^*v = p$. Define

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} v & 1 - q \\ 1 - p & v^* \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } w = \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 - q \\ 1 - q & q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
u^*u &= \begin{bmatrix} v & I_n - q \\ I_n - p & v^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^* & I_n - p \\ I_n - q & v \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} vv^* + (I_n - q) & v - vp + v - qv \\ v^* - pv^* + v^* - v^*q & (I_n - p) + v^*v \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} I_n + q - q & v - v + vv^*v - vv^*v \\ v^* - v^* + v^*vv^* - v^*vv^* & I_n - v^*v + v^*v \end{bmatrix} \\
&= I_{2n}
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7 is used to equate the second line to the third in the above equation. Similar computations show that $uu^* = w^*w = ww^* = I_{2n}$. So $u, w, wu \in \mathcal{U}_{2n}(\tilde{A})$. And

$$\begin{aligned} wu &= \begin{bmatrix} q & I-q \\ I-q & q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & I-q \\ I-p & v^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} qv + (I-q)(I-p) & q - qq + v^* - qv^* \\ v - qv + q - qp & (I-q)(I-q) + qv^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} v + (I-q)(I-p) & (I-q)v^* \\ q(I-p) & (I-q) + qv^* \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is an element of $\widetilde{M_{2n}(A)}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} wu(p \oplus 0_n)(wu)^* &= \begin{bmatrix} v + (I-q)(I-p) & 0 \\ q - qp & I - q + v^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^* + (I-p)(I-q) & q - pq \\ 0 & I - q + v \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^* + (I-p)(I-q) & q - pq \\ 0 & I - q + v \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} vv^* + v(I-p)(I-q) & vq - vpq \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = q \oplus 0_n \end{aligned}$$

noting that

$$v(I-p)(I-q) = (v - vv^*v)(I-q) = 0$$

and

$$vq - vpq = vvv^* - (vv^*v)vv^* = vvv^* - vvv^* = 0$$

by Lemma 2.7.

4. Suppose $p \sim_u q$. Then there exists unitary $u \in \widetilde{M_n(A)}$ such that $upu^* = q$. By Lemma 3.6 there exists a path $t \mapsto w_t$ in $\mathcal{U}(M_{2n}(\tilde{A}))$ such that

$$w_0 = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $p_t = w_t \text{Diag}(p, 0_n) w_t^*$. Then $p_t \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(A)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Furthermore,

$$p_0 = \text{Diag}(p, 0_n) \text{ and } p_1 = \begin{bmatrix} upu^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Diag}(q, 0_n).$$

Therefore $p \oplus 0_n \sim_h q \oplus 0_n$. ■

4 K_0 as a functor

We will see that K_0 is a contravariant functor from the category of C^* -algebras to the category of abelian groups, and that it enjoys many useful properties. Before starting the functoriality, we will first need a way to induce group homomorphisms from semigroup homomorphisms in the Grothendieck completion.

Proposition 4.1. *Let S be an abelian semigroup. For any abelian group H and any semigroup homomorphism $\rho : S \rightarrow H$, the map $\rho_G : G(S) \rightarrow H$ given by $\rho_G([(s, t)]_G) = \rho(s) - \rho(t)$ for all $(s, t) \in S \times S$ is a well-defined group homomorphism.*

Proof. Let ρ_G be as defined above and let $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in S$. To see that ρ_G is well-defined, suppose that $[(s_1, t_1)]_0 = [(s_2, t_2)]_0$. Then there exists $r \in S$ such that $s_1 + t_2 + r = s_2 + t_1 + r$, which implies that

$$\rho(s_1) + \rho(t_2) + \rho(r) = \rho(s_2) + \rho(t_1) + \rho(r).$$

But H is a group, where all elements are invertible. So

$$\rho_G([(s_1, t_1)]_G) = \rho(s_1) - \rho(t_1) = \rho(s_2) - \rho(t_2) = \rho_G([(s_2, t_2)]_G).$$

Hence ρ_G is well-defined. Now to check that ρ_G is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_G([(s_1, t_1)]_G + [(s_2, t_2)]_G) &= \rho_G([(s_1 + s_2, t_1 + t_2)]_G) \\ &= \rho(s_1 + s_2) - \rho(t_1 + t_2) \\ &= (\rho(s_1) - \rho(t_1)) + (\rho(s_2) - \rho(t_2)) \\ &= \rho_G([(s_1, t_1)]_0) + \rho_G([(s_2, t_2)]_0) \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

If A and B are C^* -algebras, with $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ a continuous $*$ -homomorphism, then φ extends naturally to a $*$ -homomorphism $M_n(A) \rightarrow M_n(B)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by applying φ entry-wise to matrix entries, i.e. $\varphi(T)_{ij} = \varphi(T_{ij})$. This map clearly respects matrix multiplication and involution. In the same way, φ extends entry-wise to $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ and respects direct sum, and is thus a monoid homomorphism $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{P}_\infty(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)/\sim_0$ be the quotient map. Then $\pi \circ \varphi$ is a monoid homomorphism $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)/\sim_0$. If $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ with $p \sim_0 q$, there exists some matrix v with entries in A

such that $vv^* = p$ and $v^*v = q$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\pi \circ \varphi(p) &= \pi(\varphi(vv^*)) = \pi(\varphi(v)\varphi(v^*)) \\ &= \pi(\varphi(v^*)\varphi(v)) = \pi(\varphi(v^*v)) \\ &= \pi \circ \varphi(q)\end{aligned}$$

So $\pi \circ \varphi(p)$ factors into a monoid homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)/\sim_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)/\sim_0$ by $\tilde{\varphi}([p]) = \pi \circ \varphi(p) (= [\varphi(p)])$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let A and B be C^* -algebras and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ a continuous $*$ -homomorphism. Then there exists a group homomorphism $K_0(\varphi) : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying $K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) = [\varphi(p)]_0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$.*

Proof. Recall that $K_0(A) = G(\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)/\sim_0)$, where there is a monoid homomorphism $[\cdot]_0 : A \rightarrow K_0(A)$. By the previous paragraph, we have a monoid homomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)/\sim_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)/\sim_0.$$

By Proposition 4.1, let $K_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_G$, and let ι_A, ι_B be the “inclusion” from $\mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}(B) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ respectively, as in Proposition 2.14. Then

$$\begin{aligned}K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) &= K_0(\varphi)(\iota_A([p]_{\mathcal{D}})) = \tilde{\varphi}_G([(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, [0]_{\mathcal{D}})]_G) \\ &= [(\tilde{\varphi}([p]_{\mathcal{D}}), \tilde{\varphi}([0]_{\mathcal{D}}))]_G = \iota_B \circ \tilde{\varphi}([p]_{\mathcal{D}}) \\ &= \iota_B([\varphi(p)]_{\mathcal{D}}) = [\varphi(p)]_0 \blacksquare\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, then $K_0(A) = \{[p]_0 - [q]_0 : p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)\}$, and $[0]_0 = 0$.*

Proof. Every element of $K_0(A)$ can be written as $[(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, [q]_{\mathcal{D}})]_G$ for some $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}[(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, [q]_{\mathcal{D}})]_G &= [(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, 0)]_G + [(0, [q]_{\mathcal{D}})]_G \\ &= [(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, 0)]_G - [(q]_{\mathcal{D}}, 0)]_G.\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$[0]_0 = [(0]_{\mathcal{D}}, 0)]_G = [(0, 0)]_G = 0. \blacksquare$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let A, B and C be C^* -algebras, let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ be continuous $*$ -homomorphisms. Then $K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi \circ \varphi)$. Also, let 0 denote the zero map between any two C^* -algebras, then $K_0(0) = 0$, the zero group map.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, every element in $K_0(A)$ is of the form $[p]_0 - [q]_0$ for some $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$. Computing using Proposition 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi)([p]_0 - [q]_0) &= K_0(\psi) (K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) - K_0(\varphi)([q]_0)) \\
&= K_0(\psi) ([\varphi(p)]_0 - [\varphi(q)]_0) \\
&= [\psi \circ \varphi(p)]_0 - [\psi \circ \varphi(q)]_0 \\
&= K_0(\psi \circ \varphi)([p]_0 - [q]_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$K_0(0)([p]_0 - [q]_0) = [0(p)]_0 - [0(q)]_0 = 0 - 0 = 0. \blacksquare$$

Corollary 4.5. *The map K_0 is a (covariant) functor, with K_0 on C^* -algebras defined as in Definition 2.17 and K_0 on continuous $*$ -morphisms defined as in Proposition 4.2.*

Proof. Simply collect the results from Propositions 4.2 and 4.4. \blacksquare

5 K_0 of general C^* -algebras

Let A be a C^* -algebra, possibly non-unital. Let \tilde{A} denote the unitization of A . Then $\tilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}I$ as a vector space, and \tilde{A} is an ideal in \tilde{A} . Let ι_I, ι_A be the inclusion maps from $\mathbb{C}I$ and A into \tilde{A} respectively, and let π_I and π_A be the natural quotient maps from \tilde{A} onto $\mathbb{C}I$ and A respectively. Both \tilde{A} and $\mathbb{C}I$ are unital C^* -algebras. Their K_0 groups are defined as in the first section. Also, the inclusion ι_I induces a group homomorphism $K_0(\iota_I) : K_0(\mathbb{C}I) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$.

Definition 5.1. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Define $\overline{K_0}(A) = \ker K_0(\pi_I)$.

Proposition 5.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K_0}(A) &= \{[p]_0 - [q]_0 : p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A}), \pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)\} =: S_1 \\ &= \{([p]_0 - [q]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) : p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})\} =: S_2 \\ &= \{[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 : p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})\} =: S_3 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $g \in K_0(\tilde{A})$ and $g \in \ker K_0(\pi_I)$. Then there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\tilde{A})$ such that $g = [p]_0 - [q]_0$, and that

$$0 = K_0(\pi_I)([p]_0 - [q]_0) = [\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0.$$

So $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)$. Conversely suppose $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)$, then

$$K_0(\pi_I)([p]_0 - [q]_0) = [\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0.$$

This proves the first equality.

With the first equality in mind, suppose $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)$. Then

$$[p]_0 - [q]_0 = ([p]_0 - [q]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) \in S_2.$$

So $\overline{K_0}(A) = S_1 \subseteq S_2$. And

$$\begin{aligned} &K_0(\pi_I) (([p]_0 - [q]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0)) \\ &= ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) - ([\pi_I \circ \pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I \circ \pi_I(q)]_0) \\ &= ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So $S_2 \subseteq \overline{K_0}(A)$, this proves the second equality.

Clearly $S_3 \subseteq S_2$. Take

$$g = ([p]_0 - [q]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) \in S_2.$$

Suppose q is $n \times n$, and let $p' = p \oplus (I_n - q)$. Then

$$[p']_0 = [p]_0 - [q]_0 + [I_n]_0.$$

Also

$$\pi_I(p') = \pi_I(p) \oplus (I_n - \pi_I(q)),$$

so

$$[\pi_I(p')]_0 = [\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0 + [I_n]_n.$$

Thus $[p']_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 = g$, this proves $S_2 = S_3$. ■

The above gives a definition for the K_0 group of non-unital C^* -algebras, and defines another abelian group for a unital C^* -algebra. We need to verify that it coincides with the previous definition for the unital case.

Lemma 5.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Let 1_A denote the identity of A , and let $\tilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}I$ as vector space. Then $\tilde{A} \cong A \oplus \mathbb{C}J$. The C^* -algebra $A \oplus \mathbb{C}J$ is defined with norm $\|a + zJ\| = \max(\|a\|, |z|)$ and involution $(a + zJ)^* = a^* + \bar{z}J$.*

Proof. Define $\tau : A \oplus \mathbb{C}J \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ by $a \oplus zJ \mapsto a + z(I - 1_A)$. This is clear a vector space isomorphism and respects the involution. Lastly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(a \oplus zJ)\tau(b \oplus wJ) \\ &= (a + z(I - 1_A))(b + w(I - 1_A)) \\ &= ab + w(aI - a1_A) + z(Ib - 1_A b) + zw(II - I1_A - 1_A I + 1_A 1_A) \\ &= ab + w(a - a) + z(b - b) + zw(I - 1_A - 1_A + 1_A) \\ &= ab + zw(I - 1_A) \\ &= \tau(ab \oplus zwJ). \end{aligned}$$

So τ is an isomorphism. ■

Remark 5.4. To gain an intuitive idea of the above lemma, consider the case of where $A = C(X)$ is the set of continuous functions from a compact Hausdorff space X into the complex numbers. The unitization $\widetilde{C(X)}$ is isomorphic to $C(X \sqcup \{*\})$ (see Proposition 9.9). Let 1_A denote the function that is constantly 1 on X and zero on $*$. Let 1_* be the function that is 1 on $*$ and constantly zero on X . Then we have

$$C(X \sqcup \{*\}) \cong C(X) \oplus C(\{*\}) \cong C(X) \oplus \mathbb{C}1_*,$$

where $1_* = 1 - 1_A$. The proof of the lemma imitates this idea to prove it in the non-commutative case.

Proposition 5.5. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, then $\overline{K_0(A)} \cong K_0(A)$.*

Proof. By the lemma above, $\widetilde{A} \cong A \oplus \mathbb{C}J$. Let $\iota_A : A \rightarrow A \oplus \mathbb{C}J$ be the natural inclusion map and $\pi_A : A \oplus \mathbb{C}J \rightarrow A$ the quotient map. The map $\tau : A \oplus \mathbb{C}J \rightarrow \widetilde{A}$ is defined in the previous proof. Define $\alpha : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(\widetilde{A})$ by

$$[p]_0 - [q]_0 \mapsto [\tau(\iota_A(p))]_0 - [\tau(\iota_A(q))]_0.$$

In other words, $\alpha = K_0(\tau \circ \iota_A)$. Since $\pi_I(\tau(\iota_A(p))) = 0 = \pi_I(\tau(\iota_A(q)))$, the image of α is indeed in $\overline{K_0(A)}$. Let $\beta = K_0(\pi_A \circ \tau^{-1}) : \overline{K_0(A)} \rightarrow K_0(A)$. Then,

$$\beta \circ \alpha = K_0(\pi_A \circ \tau^{-1} \tau \circ \iota_A) = K_0(\pi_A \circ \iota_A) = K_0(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{K_0(A)}.$$

For $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\widetilde{A})$ with $\pi_I(\tilde{p}) = \pi_I(\tilde{q})$, let $p_1 = \tau \circ \iota_A \circ \pi_A \circ \tau^{-1}(\tilde{p})$ and $p_2 = \tilde{p} - p_1$. Then $p_1 + p_2 = \tilde{p}$ and p_1, p_2 are orthogonal projections. Write $\tilde{q} = q_1 + q_2$ in the same way. Since $\pi_I(\tilde{p}) = \pi_I(\tilde{q})$, by the way that τ is defined, we have that $p_2 = q_2$. So

$$[\tilde{p}]_0 - [\tilde{q}]_0 = ([p_1]_0 + [p_2]_0) - ([q_1]_0 + [q_2]_0) = [p_1]_0 - [q_1]_0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \circ \beta)([\tilde{p}]_0 - [\tilde{q}]_0) &= K_0(\tau \circ \iota_A \circ \pi_A \circ \tau^{-1})([\tilde{p}]_0 - [\tilde{q}]_0) \\ &= [p_1]_0 - [q_1]_0 = [\tilde{p}]_0 - [\tilde{q}]_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence α and β are mutual inverses. ■

Definition 5.6. Let A be a non-unital C^* -algebra. Define $K_0(A) := \overline{K}_0(A)$.

Remark 5.7. By Proposition 5.5, we can safely write $K_0(A) = \overline{K}_0(A)$ for any unital C^* -algebras A .

The description S_3 in Proposition 5.2 is the one will be used most often. Next is a discussion of when two elements in such description are equivalent.

Lemma 5.8. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$ and $w \in M_{n,k}(A)$ for some $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\pi_I(vw) = \pi_I(v)\pi_I(w)$.*

Proof. We compute $\pi_I(vw)$ to be

$$\pi_I[(v - \pi_I(v))(w - \pi_I(w)) + \pi_I(v)(w - \pi_I(w)) + (v - \pi_I(v))w + \pi_I(v)\pi_I(w)]$$

Since A is an ideal in \tilde{A} , all of $(v - \pi_I(v))(w - \pi_I(w))$, $\pi_I(v)(w - \pi_I(w))$ and $(v - \pi_I(v))w$ have entries in A , which are 0 when they are evaluated under π_I . So

$$\pi_I(vw) = \pi_I(\pi_I(v)\pi_I(w)) = \pi_I(v)\pi_I(w)$$

since $\pi_I(v)\pi_I(w) \in M_{k,l}(\mathbb{C}I)$. ■

Lemma 5.9. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$. Then $p \sim_0 q$ in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$ implies $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)$.*

Proof. There exists a matrix v with entries in \tilde{A} such that $vv^* = p$ and $v^*v = q$. By Lemma 5.8,

$$\pi_I(p) = \pi_I(vv^*) = \pi_I(v)\pi_I(v^*) \sim_0 \pi_I(v^*)\pi_I(v) = \pi_I(v^*v) = \pi_I(q). \blacksquare$$

Proposition 5.10. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$. The following are equivalent*

1. $[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 = [q]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0$
2. there exists $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$ with $p \oplus r_1 \sim_0 q \oplus r_2$
3. there exists $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \oplus I_k \sim_0 q \oplus I_l$ in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$

Proof. (1 \implies 2) The equality $[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 = [q]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0$ implies that

$$[p \oplus \pi_I(q)]_0 = [p]_0 + [\pi_I(q)]_0 = [q]_0 + [\pi_I(p)]_0 = [q \oplus \pi_I(p)]_0$$

So let $r_1 = \pi_I(q)$ and $r_2 = \pi_I(p)$. This satisfies 2.

(2 \implies 3) Since $r_i = \pi_I(r_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we see that r_1 and r_2 can be considered as matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ respectively. Let $k = \text{rank } r_1 \leq n$. Let $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\text{Ran } r_1 \mathbb{C}^n$, and extend it to an orthonormal basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ denote the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n , and define $u \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by $uz_j = e_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then u is unitary since it takes an orthonormal basis to another one, and

$$ur_1u^*e_j = ur_1z_j = \begin{cases} uz_j = e_j & : j = 1, \dots, k \\ u0 = 0 & : j = k + 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

So

$$r_1 \sim_0 ur_1u^* = I_k \oplus 0_{n-k} \sim_0 I_k.$$

By identifying u as a unitary matrix in $M_k(\mathbb{C}I)$, this also holds true in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$. Similarly, $r_2 \sim_0 I_l$ in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$ for $l = \text{rank } r_2$. So

$$p \oplus I_k \sim_0 p \oplus r_1 \sim_0 q \oplus r_2 \sim_0 q \oplus I_l.$$

(3 \implies 1) We use Lemma 5.9 here and compute

$$\begin{aligned} [p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 &= [p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 + [I_k]_0 - [I_k]_0 \\ &= [p \oplus I_k]_0 - [\pi_I(p) \oplus I_k]_0 \\ &= [p \oplus I_k]_0 - [\pi_I(p \oplus I_k)]_0 \\ &= [q \oplus I_l]_0 - [\pi_I(q \oplus I_l)]_0 \\ &= [q]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The next natural step is to extend the functor K_0 to all $*$ -homomorphisms on all C^* -algebras. Let A, B be C^* -algebras. A $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ can be extended to a $*$ -homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}I_A \rightarrow \tilde{B} = B \oplus \mathbb{C}I_B$ by $\tilde{\varphi}|_A = \varphi$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(I_A) = I_B$.

Definition 5.11. Let A, B be C^* -algebras, $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ a $*$ -homomorphism. Define $\bar{K}_0(\varphi) = K_0(\tilde{\varphi})|_{K_0(A)} : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$. Then $\bar{K}_0(\varphi)$ is a well-defined group homomorphism.

Proof. Note that $\overline{K}_0(\varphi)$ is the restriction of $K_0(\tilde{\varphi})$ to $K_0(A)$. So it is a group homomorphism. $\pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) = \pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(q))$ by the way $\tilde{\varphi}$ is defined. So the image of $\overline{K}_0(\varphi)$ is in $K_0(B)$. ■

Proposition 5.12. *Let A, B be unital C^* -algebras, let $\alpha : K_0(A) \rightarrow \overline{K}_0(A)$ be the group isomorphism described in the proof of Proposition 5.5, and similarly let $\beta : K_0(B) \rightarrow \overline{K}_0(B)$ be such group isomorphism. Then for any group homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$, we have*

$$\overline{K}_0(\varphi) \circ \alpha = \beta \circ K_0(\varphi).$$

Proof. We adopt all notation used in Proposition 5.5, where $\alpha = K_0(\tau_A \circ \iota_A)$ and $\beta = K_0(\tau_B \circ \iota_B)$. Then

$$\beta \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\tau_B \circ \iota_B) \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\tau_B \circ \iota_B \circ \varphi)$$

and

$$\overline{K}_0(\varphi) \circ \alpha = K_0(\tilde{\varphi})|_{\overline{K}_0(A)} \circ K_0(\tau_A \circ \iota_A) = K_0(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tau_A \circ \iota_A).$$

For $a \in A$,

$$\tau_B \circ \iota_B \circ \varphi(a) = \varphi(a) \oplus 0I_B = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tau_A \circ \iota_A(a).$$

So $\tau_B \circ \iota_B \circ \varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tau_A \circ \iota_A$ as maps $A \rightarrow \tilde{B}$, so applying K_0 they are the same as maps from $K_0(A)$ to $K_0(\tilde{B})$ whose image lie in $\overline{K}_0(B)$. This concludes the proof. ■

Remark 5.13. By the above proposition and Proposition 5.5, we can safely write $\overline{K}_0(\varphi) = K_0(\varphi)$ for any $*$ -homomorphism φ .

Proposition 5.14. *Let A, B, C be C^* -algebras, and let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ be $*$ -homomorphisms. Then $K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi \circ \varphi)$. Also, $K_0(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{K_0(A)}$ and $K_0(0) = 0$ for 0 any zero map.*

Proof. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi) &= K_0(\tilde{\psi})|_{K_0(B)} \circ K_0(\tilde{\varphi})|_{K_0(A)} \\ &= K_0(\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi})|_{K_0(A)} \\ &= K_0(\widetilde{\psi \circ \varphi})|_{K_0(A)} \\ &= K_0(\psi \circ \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\text{id}_A) &= K_0(\widetilde{\text{id}}_A)|_{K_0(A)} \\ &= K_0(\text{id}_{\widetilde{A}})|_{K_0(A)} \\ &= \text{id}_{K_0(\widetilde{A})}|_{K_0(A)} \\ &= \text{id}_{K_0(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$K_0(0) = K_0(\widetilde{0})|_{K_0(A)} = K_0(\pi_I)|_{K_0(A)}.$$

But $K_0(A)$ is exactly $\ker K_0(\pi_I)$, so $K_0(0) = 0$. ■

Now we have a functor K_0 from the category of C^* -algebras to the category of abelian groups.

6 Functorial properties of K_0

The K_0 -group of a C^* -algebra can be difficult to compute even for most C^* -algebras. With the functoriality of K_0 in hand, some useful properties of the functor K_0 will aid calculation. One might say this is similar to how exact sequences help the computation of cohomology groups. In fact, K_0 is an extraordinary cohomology functor, but this will not be discussed here. In short summary, the most basic and important properties of the functor K_0 are homotopy invariance, half exactness and split exactness. Also, K_0 is a continuous functor, meaning that the inductive limit K_0 -group is isomorphic to the K_0 -group of inductive limits. Other useful tools for computing the K_0 -groups include the higher K -groups, Bott periodicity, and the 6-term exact sequence. In this paper we will only prove the three basic functorial properties of K_0 .

Definition 6.1. Let A and B be C^* -algebras and $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ be $*$ -homomorphisms. We say φ is **homotopic** to ψ , written $\varphi \sim_h \psi$, if there exists a family of continuous $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi_t : A \rightarrow B$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $\varphi_1 = \psi$, and that for each $a \in A$, $t \mapsto \varphi_t(a)$ is a continuous map $[0, 1] \rightarrow B$. The family φ_t is called a homotopy from φ to ψ .

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. We say A is **homotopic** to B , written $A \sim_h B$, if there exists $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi : B \rightarrow A$ continuous $*$ -homomorphisms such that $\varphi \circ \psi \sim_h \text{id}_A$ and $\psi \circ \varphi \sim_h \text{id}_B$.

6.1 Homotopy invariance

Proposition 6.2. *Let A and B be C^* -algebras, $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ be continuous $*$ -homomorphisms with $\varphi \sim_h \psi$, then $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$. If $A \sim_h B$, then $K_0(A) \cong K_0(B)$.*

Proof. Once again, a typical element in $K_0(A)$ is $[p]_0 - [q]_0$ for some $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$. Hence it is sufficient to show that $K_0(\varphi)(p) = K_0(\psi)(p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$. Let φ_t be a homotopy from φ to ψ . The family φ_t extends to a homotopy from φ to ψ on $M_n(A)$. The map $[0, 1] \rightarrow M_n(B)$ given by $t \mapsto \varphi_t(p)$ is continuous, and since each φ_t is a $*$ -homomorphism, $\varphi_t(p) \in \mathcal{P}_n(B)$, so $t \mapsto \varphi_t(p)$ is a homotopy of

$$\varphi(p) = \varphi_0(p) \sim_h \varphi_1(p) = \psi(p).$$

But we know homotopic projections are equivalent in $\mathcal{D}(A)$, so

$$K_0(\varphi)(p) = [\varphi(p)]_0 = [\psi(p)]_0 = K_0(\psi)(p).$$

Hence $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$.

Suppose $A \sim_h B$. There exists continuous homomorphisms $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha \circ \beta \sim_h \text{id}_A$ and $\beta \circ \alpha \sim_h \text{id}_B$. Then using Proposition 4.4 and the first half of this proof,

$$K_0(\alpha) \circ K_0(\beta) = K_0(\alpha \circ \beta) = K_0(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{K_0(A)},$$

$$K_0(\beta) \circ K_0(\alpha) = K_0(\beta \circ \alpha) = K_0(\text{id}_B) = \text{id}_{K_0(B)}.$$

Hence $K_0(\alpha) : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ is a group isomorphism, whose inverse is $K_0(\beta)$. ■

6.2 Half- and split-exactness

Definition 6.3. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories, and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

1. \mathcal{F} is exact if whenever

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence in \mathcal{C} , then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in \mathcal{D} .

2. \mathcal{F} is half exact if whenever

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short sequence in \mathcal{C} , then

$$\mathcal{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(C)$$

is sequence in \mathcal{D} that is exact at $\mathcal{F}(B)$.

3. \mathcal{F} is split exact if whenever

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{h} \end{array} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is a split exact sequence in \mathcal{C} , then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(B) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(h)} \end{array} \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a split exact sequence in \mathcal{D} .

Clearly an exact functor would be half-exact. In this section we will show that the functor K_0 is half-exact and split-exact. However, K_0 is not an exact functor. We will see a counterexample in a later section when we have developed more machinery.

Lemma 6.4. *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of C^* -algebras, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\tilde{\varphi} : M_n(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{B})$ and $\tilde{\psi} : M_n(\tilde{B}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{C})$ be the unital $*$ -homomorphisms induced by φ and ψ , respectively. Then,

1. The map $\tilde{\varphi} : M_n(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{B})$ is injective.
2. An element $a \in M_n(\tilde{B})$ belongs to the image of $\tilde{\varphi}$ if and only if $\tilde{\psi}(a) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(a))$.

Proof. 1. The map $\tilde{\varphi} : A \oplus \mathbb{C}I_A \rightarrow B \oplus \mathbb{C}I_B$ is injective on both A and $\mathbb{C}I_A$. Therefore it is injective $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$, and also the induced map $\tilde{\varphi} : M_n(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{B})$ is continuous.

2. For $a \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(a + zI_A) &= \tilde{\psi}(\varphi(a) + zI_B) = \psi \circ \varphi(a) + zI_C = zI_C \\ &= \pi_I(\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(a + zI_A)). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose $b \in B$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\psi(b) + zI_C = \tilde{\psi}(b + zI_B) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(b + zI_B)) = zI_C.$$

Then $\psi(b) = 0$. By exactness there exists $a \in A$ such that $\varphi(a) = b$, then $b + zI_B = \tilde{\varphi}(a + zI_A)$. ■

Proposition 6.5. K_0 is half-exact.

Proof. Let A, B and C be C^* -algebras with $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi : B \rightarrow C$, where φ is injective, ψ is surjective, and $\text{im}(\varphi) = \ker(\psi)$.

A typical element in $K_0(A)$ is $[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0$ for some $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$. By Lemma 6.4 the equation

$$\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(p) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(p)) = \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\pi_I(p))$$

holds. So

$$K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi)([p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0) = [\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(p)]_0 - [\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\pi_I(p))]_0 = 0.$$

So $\text{im}(K_0(\varphi)) \subseteq \ker(K_0(\psi))$.

Conversely, let $[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 \in K_0(B)$ be in the kernel of $K_0(\psi)$. Since $\tilde{\psi}(p) \sim_0 \tilde{\psi}(\pi_I(p))$ in $\mathcal{P}_n(C)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Proposition 3.15 there exists a unitary element $u \in M_{2n}(C)$ such that

$$u(\tilde{\psi}(p) \oplus 0_n)u^* = \tilde{\psi}(\pi_I(p)) \oplus 0_n.$$

By Lemma 3.10 there exists a unitary $v \in M_{4n}(B)$ such that $\tilde{\psi}(v) = u \oplus u^*$. Let $p_1 = v(p \oplus 0_{3n})v^*$. Then

$$p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_{3n} \sim_0 p_1,$$

and similarly $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(p_1)$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(p_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\psi}(p) \oplus 0_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{2n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^* & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u(\tilde{\psi}(p) \oplus 0_n)u^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{2n} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(p)) \oplus 0_{3n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\tilde{\psi}(p_1) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(p_1))$. By Lemma 6.4 there exists $e \in M_{3n}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(e) = p_1$. Also,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(ee) = \tilde{\varphi}(e)\tilde{\varphi}(e) = p_1 p_1 = p_1,$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}(e^*) = p_1^* = p_1.$$

By Lemma 6.4, $\tilde{\varphi} : M_{4n}(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_{4n}(\tilde{B})$ is injective, which implies $e = ee = e^*$, and hence e is a projection. Now

$$K_0(\varphi)([e]_0 - [\pi_I(e)]_0) = [p_1]_0 - [\pi_I(p_1)]_0 = [p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0.$$

This shows that $\ker K_0(\psi) \subseteq \text{im } K_0(\varphi)$. Therefore $\ker K_0(\psi) = \text{im } K_0(\varphi)$. ■

Proposition 6.6. *The functor K_0 is split-exact.*

Proof. Suppose

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightleftharpoons[\lambda]{\psi} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is a split exact sequence of C*-algebras. By the half-exactness just proved, the sequence

$$K_0(A) \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi)} K_0(B) \xrightarrow{K_0(\psi)} K_0(C)$$

is exact. Also, since K_0 is a functor, we have

$$K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\lambda) = K_0(\psi \circ \lambda) = K_0(\text{id}_C) = \text{id}_{K_0(C)},$$

so the sequence is also exact at $K_0(C)$. It is left to show that $K_0(\varphi)$ is injective.

Let $g \in K_0(A)$ be in the kernel of $K_0(\varphi)$. By the proof of Proposition 6.5, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\tilde{A})$ and some unitary $u \in M_n(\tilde{B})$ such that $g = [p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0$ and $u\tilde{\varphi}(p)u^* = \pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(p))$. Let $v = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u^*)u$. Then

$$v^*v = u^*(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u))(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u^*))u = u^*I_n u = I_n,$$

$$vv^* = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u^*))uu^*(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u)) = I_n,$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi}(v) = (\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u^*))(\tilde{\psi}(u)) = \tilde{\psi}(u^*)\tilde{\psi}(u) = \tilde{\psi}(I_n) = I_n.$$

Since $\tilde{\psi}(v) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(v))$, by Lemma 6.4, there exists $w \in M_n(\tilde{A})$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(w) = v$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}$ is injective and $\tilde{\varphi}(w^*w) = I_n = \tilde{\varphi}(ww^*)$, have $ww^* =$

$I_n = w^*w$, so w is unitary. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}(wpw^*) &= v\tilde{\varphi}(p)v^* = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u^*)u\tilde{\varphi}(p)u^*(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u) \\
&= (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u^*)\pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(p))(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u) \\
&= (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u^*\pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(p))u) \\
&= (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) = \tilde{\lambda}((\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(p)) \\
&= \tilde{\lambda}((\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(\pi_I(p))) \\
&= \tilde{\varphi}(\pi_I(p)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of $\tilde{\varphi}$ we can conclude that $\pi_I(p) = wpw^*$. Hence $p \sim_0 \pi_I(p)$ in $\mathcal{P}_n(\tilde{A})$. Therefore $g = 0$. ■

Corollary 6.7. *Let A and B be C^* -algebras. Then $K_0(A \oplus B) \cong K_0(A) \oplus K_0(B)$.*

Proof. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A \oplus B \xleftarrow{\cong} B \longrightarrow 0$$

is split-exact. Hence by the split-exactness of K_0 , we have a split-exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0(A) \longrightarrow K_0(A \oplus B) \xleftarrow{\cong} K_0(B) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore $K_0(A) \oplus K_0(B) \cong K_0(A \oplus B)$. ■

7 K-theory of compact Hausdorff spaces

Definition 7.1. Let X be a Hausdorff topological space, V and W topological vector bundles over X . Define the map $\pi_V : V \rightarrow X$ by $\pi_V(v) = x$ if $v \in V_x$. We write $\pi = \pi_V$, when it is understood that π has domain V . A map $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a bundle homomorphism if φ is continuous, $\varphi(v) \in \pi_W^{-1}(\pi_V(v))$ for all $v \in V$, and that $\varphi_x = \varphi|_{V_x} : V_x \rightarrow W_x$ is a linear homomorphism for all $x \in X$. We say V is isomorphic to W if there exists $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ and $\psi : W \rightarrow V$ bundle homomorphisms such that $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}_W$ and $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_V$.

Definition 7.2. Let X be a Hausdorff space and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\Theta^n(X)$ to be the rank- n trivial bundle over X ; specifically, $\Theta^n(X) = X \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

Definition 7.3. For X a Hausdorff space, define $\text{Vect}(X)$ to be the set of all isomorphism classes of topological vector bundles on X .

Definition 7.4. Let X be a Hausdorff space, define $C(X)$ to be the set of all continuous functions from X to \mathbb{C} . If X is compact, then $C(X)$ can be equipped with the sup-norm as the norm and with pointwise conjugation as its involution. This gives $C(X)$ a C^* -algebra structure.

Remark 7.5. Let \mathcal{C} be the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and let \mathcal{A} be the category of unital C^* -algebras. Define a contravariant functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as follows. If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then $\mathcal{F}(X) = C(X)$. If X, Y are compact Hausdorff spaces and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$, then $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \varphi^* \in \text{Hom}(C(Y), C(X))$ where $\varphi^* f(x) = f(\varphi(x))$ for all $f \in C(Y)$ and $x \in X$, where $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ is the set of continuous functions from X to Y , and $\text{Hom}(C(Y), C(X))$ is the set of $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(Y)$ to $C(X)$.

If X is a Hausdorff space, not necessarily compact, then $C(X)$ is not necessarily a C^* -algebra since the sup-norm cannot be defined. However $C(X)$ is a ring, so for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, it makes sense to consider $M_{m,n}(C(X))$, all m by n matrices with entries in $C(X)$. Note that $M_{m,n}(C(X))$ is naturally isomorphic to $C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$, by taking a matrix $F \in M_{m,n}(C(X))$ to $f \in C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$, where $[f(x)]_{ij} = F_{ij}(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 7.6. Let X be a Hausdorff space, and let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $f \in C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$, define a bundle homomorphism $\Gamma(f) : \Theta^n(X) \rightarrow \Theta^m(X)$ by $\Gamma(f)(x, v) = (x, f(x)v)$. Then $\Gamma : f \mapsto \Gamma(f)$ is a bijection from $C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ to $\text{Hom}(\Theta^n(X), \Theta^m(X))$. In other words, we have a one-to-one correspondence between $\text{Hom}(\Theta^n(X), \Theta^m(X))$ and $C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})) = M_{m,n}(C(X))$.

Proof. Suppose $f, g \in M_{m,n}(C(X))$ with $f \neq g$. Pick $x \in X$ for which $f(x) \neq g(x)$. Then there exists $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for which $g(x)v \neq f(x)v$, which shows that Γ is injective. It is left to show that Γ is surjective.

Let \mathbb{C}^n and \mathbb{C}^m be equipped with their standard inner products. Define $p : \Theta^n(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ by $p(x, w) = w$. Suppose $\varphi : \Theta^n(X) \rightarrow \Theta^m(X)$ is a bundle homomorphism. Define $f : X \rightarrow M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ so that

$$f(x)_{ij} = \langle p(\varphi(x, e_j)), e_i \rangle$$

for all $x \in X$. Clearly f is continuous. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(f)(x, v) &= (x, f(x)v) \\ &= (x, \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n f(x)_{ij} v_j e_i) \\ &= (x, \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \langle p(\varphi(x, e_j)), e_i \rangle v_j e_i) \\ &= (x, \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \langle p(\varphi(x, v_j e_j)), e_i \rangle e_i) \\ &= (x, \sum_{i=1}^m \langle p(\varphi(x, v)), e_i \rangle e_i) \\ &= (x, \varphi(x, v)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, v) \in \Theta^n(X)$. Thus $\Gamma(f) = \varphi$, and we conclude that Γ is surjective. ■

Lemma 7.7. *Let V and W be vector bundles over a compact Hausdorff space X , and suppose that $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a bundle homomorphism such that φ_x is a vector space isomorphism for every $x \in X$. Then φ is a bundle isomorphism.*

Proof. Let X_1, \dots, X_k be the connected components of X , let $V_j = V|_{X_j}$ and $W_j = W|_{X_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. If $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a bundle homomorphism such that $\varphi|_{V_j}$ is an isomorphism from V_j onto W_j , then φ is an isomorphism from V onto W . Thus for the rest of the proof we may assume that X is connected.

By hypothesis φ is a bijection, so φ^{-1} is defined, with $\varphi^{-1}|_x$ a vector space isomorphism. We need to check that φ^{-1} is continuous. Choose an open cover

$\{U_1, \dots, U_l\}$ for which $V|_{U_k}$ and $W|_{U_k}$ are trivial for $k = 1, \dots, l$. For each k , let $\varphi_k = \varphi|_{V|_{U_k}}$. Then it is sufficient to show that φ_k^{-1} is continuous.

Let n be the rank of V and W . We can identify $V|_{U_k}$ and $W|_{U_k}$ with $\Theta^n(U_k)$, and can consider φ_k to be a bundle isomorphism from $\Theta^n(U_k)$ to itself. Apply Lemma 7.6 to obtain a continuous function $f_k : U_k \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\varphi_k(x, v) = (x, f_k(x)v)$ for all $(x, v) \in \Theta^n(U_k)$. Since $\varphi_k(x)$ is an isomorphism for all $x \in U_k$, have $f_k(x) \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ for all $x \in U_k$.

Each f_k is an element of $C(U_k, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. The matrix $f_k(x)$ is invertible for every $x \in U_k$, since inversion is continuous, we have that $f^{-1}(x) \in C(U_k, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Apply the lemma again have φ_k^{-1} is continuous. ■

Proposition 7.8. *Let V be a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space X . Then V is isomorphic to a subbundle of the trivial bundle $\Theta^N(X)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let X_1, \dots, X_m be the distinct connected components of X . If $V|_{X_k}$ is a subbundle of $\Theta^{N_k}(X_k)$ for some $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$, then let $N = N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_m$, and V is itself a subbundle of $\Theta^N(X)$. So for the rest of the proof we may assume that X is connected.

Since V is locally trivial, let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_l\}$ be an open cover of X such that $V|_{U_k} \cong \Theta^M(U_k)$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$. (Note that this M is the same for all k since X is connected.) Let $\varphi_k : V|_{U_k} \rightarrow \Theta^M(U_k)$ be a bundle isomorphism. Define $q_k : \Theta^M(U_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^M$ by $q_k(x, w) = w$ for $x \in U_k$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^M$; also let $\pi : V \rightarrow X$ be projection onto the point in X that an element $v \in V$ lies above. Choose a partition of unity $\{f_1, \dots, f_l\}$ subordinate to the cover \mathcal{U} , and let $N = M \cdot l$. Then define $\Phi : V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=1}^l \mathbb{C}^M$ by

$$\Phi(v) = (f_1(\pi(v))q_1(\varphi_1(v)) \oplus \dots \oplus f_l(\pi(v))q_l(\varphi_l(v))).$$

Then $\varphi(v) = (\pi(v), \Phi(v))$ defines a bundle homomorphism $V \rightarrow \Theta^N(X)$. Since φ is injective, this is a bijective homomorphism onto a subbundle of $\Theta^N(X)$. By Lemma 7.7 this is indeed an isomorphism. ■

Corollary 7.9. *Every vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space admits a Hermitian metric.*

Proof. It is clear that every trivial bundle naturally has a Hermitian metric, and since every bundle over a compact Hausdorff space is a subbundle of

some trivial bundle, then it inherits the restriction of the Hermitian metric. ■

Definition 7.10. Let X be a Hausdorff space, and let $[V], [W] \in \text{Vect}(X)$. Define $[V \oplus W]$ to be the isomorphism class of bundles as follows. There exists $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V is a subbundle of $\Theta^n(X)$ and W is a subbundle of $\Theta^m(X)$. Let Q be the subbundle of $\Theta^{n+m}(X)$ such that $Q_x = V_x \oplus W_x \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ for all $x \in X$. Define $[V \oplus W]$ to be $[Q]$.

Proposition 7.11. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let V, W be vector bundles over X . Then $[V \oplus W]$ is well-defined and it is a vector bundle.*

Proof. The proof is easy and is left as an exercise for the reader. ■

Remark 7.12. The vector bundle $V \oplus W$ is called the Whitney sum of V and W . The general construction is more abstract and it may take some work to check the bundle definitions. Proposition 7.8 allows for a concrete description of the class $[V \oplus W]$. Also, in K-theory it is more helpful to think of a vector bundle as a subbundle of some trivial bundle, as we will see when we relate the topological K-theory to the C^* -algebra K-theory.

Proposition 7.13. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. The set $\text{Vect}(X)$ equipped with the operation $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$, is an abelian monoid.*

Proof. The only non-trivial part is to verify that $[V] + [W] = [W] + [V]$. Suppose V is a subbundle of $\Theta^n(X)$ and W is a subbundle of $\Theta^m(X)$. We'll write $V \oplus W$ and $W \oplus V$ as the corresponding subbundles of $\Theta^{n+m}(X)$. Let $\rho : V \oplus W \rightarrow W \oplus V$ be such that

$$\rho(x, v \oplus w) = \rho(x, w \oplus v)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $v \in V_x, w \in W_x$. Clearly $\rho|_x$ is a vector space isomorphism for all $x \in X$, so by Lemma 7.7 it is left to show that ρ is continuous. For any $x \in X$, take an open neighbourhood U of x for which both $V|_U$ and $W|_U$ are trivial. There exists $k \leq n$ and $l \leq m$ for which there exists bundle isomorphisms

$$\varphi : V|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} \Theta^k(U); \quad \psi : W|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} \Theta^l(U).$$

Definition 7.14. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Define $K^0(X) = G(\text{Vect}(X))$, where $G(\cdot)$ is the Grothendieck completion.

The following is a lemma that helps with computation of K^0 -groups.

Lemma 7.15. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let I denote the closed interval $[0, 1]$. If V is a vector bundle over $X \times I$, then $V|_{X \times \{0\}} \cong V|_{X \times \{1\}}$.*

Proof. First we show that a bundle V over $X \times [a, b]$ is trivial if there exists some $c \in (a, b)$ such that $V|_{X \times [a, c]}$ and $V|_{X \times [c, b]}$ are trivial. To see this, let $\varphi : V|_{X \times [a, c]} \rightarrow \Theta^n(X \times [a, c])$ and $\psi : V|_{X \times [c, b]} \rightarrow \Theta^n(X \times [c, b])$ be bundle isomorphisms for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a function $h : X \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\varphi(v) = h(\pi(v))\psi(v)$ for all $v \in V|_x$. Then the map $\Phi : V \rightarrow \Theta^n(X \times [a, b])$ defined by

$$\Phi(v) = \begin{cases} \varphi(v) & : a \leq t \leq c \\ h(\pi(v))\psi(v) & : c < t \leq b \end{cases}$$

is a bundle isomorphism.

Next, for every $x \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ there exists some $U_{x,t} \subseteq X$ a neighbourhood of x and some $\delta_t > 0$ such that V is trivial over

$$U_{x,t} \times (t - \delta_t, t + \delta_t).$$

Because $[0, 1]$ is compact, there exists a finite collection $\{t_0, \dots, t_k\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^k (t_i - \delta_{t_i}, t_i + \delta_{t_i}) \supseteq [0, 1].$$

Let $U_x = \bigcap_{i=0}^k U_{x,t_i}$. Then V is trivial over $U_x \times (t_i - \delta_{t_i}, t_i + \delta_{t_i})$ for all $i = 0, \dots, k$. Hence by observation from the previous paragraph, we see that $V|_{U_x \times I}$ is trivial. Thus, since X is compact, there exists a finite cover $\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ of X such that $V|_{U_j \times I}$ is trivial for all $j = 1, \dots, r$.

Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$. For $j = 0, \dots, r$ let

$$F_j = f_1 + \dots + f_j.$$

In particular $F_0 = 0$ and $F_r = 1$. Also define

$$X_0 = \{(x, F_j(x)) : x \in X\}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Because $V|_{U_j \times I}$ is trivial, there exists a bundle isomorphism $\Phi_j : V|_{U_j \times I} \rightarrow \Theta^n(U_j \times I)$. Define $\Psi_j : V|_{X_{j-1}} \rightarrow V|_{X_j}$ by

$$\Psi_j(v) = \begin{cases} v & : \pi(v) \notin U_j \times I \\ \Phi_j^{-1}(w) & : \pi(v) \in U_j \times I \end{cases}$$

where $w = ((x, f_j(x)), u)$ if $\Phi_j(v) = ((x, f_{j-1}(x)), u)$. Then Ψ_j is a bundle isomorphism. Thus we have

$$V|_{X \times \{0\}} = V|_{X_0} \cong V|_{X_1} \cong \dots \cong V|_{X_r} = V|_{X \times \{1\}}. \blacksquare$$

Corollary 7.16. *Every vector bundle over a contractible compact Hausdorff space is trivial.*

Proof. Let X be a contractible compact Hausdorff space. There exists a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ and a continuous function $\varphi : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\varphi|_{X \times \{0\}}(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$ and $\varphi|_{X \times \{1\}}(x) = x_0$ for all $x \in X$. Suppose V is a vector bundle over X . Then $\varphi^*(V)$ is a bundle over $X \times [0, 1]$ with

$$V \cong \varphi^*(V)|_{X \times \{0\}} \cong \varphi^*(V)|_{X \times \{1\}} \cong \Theta^{\text{rank } V}(X)$$

by Lemma 7.15. \blacksquare

Example 7.17. Consider the compact Hausdorff space $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Let $A = \{e^{i\theta} : 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\}$ be the closed upper half of S^1 and let $B = \{e^{i\theta} : \pi \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ be the lower half of S^1 . Fix a rank n complex vector bundle V over S^1 . Because A and B are both contractible, by Corollary 7.16 $V|_A$ and $V|_B$ are trivial bundles. Let $\varphi : V|_A \rightarrow \Theta^n(A)$ and $\psi : V|_B \rightarrow \Theta^n(B)$ be bundle isomorphisms. Let $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix that represents $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}$ at 1, and let h be the matrix that represents $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}$ at -1 . The group $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is path connected, so let g_t and h_t be continuous paths from A and B respectively to the identity matrix.

Define a rank n bundle W over $S^1 \times I$ as follows. The bundle W is trivial over $A \times I$ and $B \times I$, with trivializations $\Phi : W|_{A \times I} \rightarrow \Theta^n(A \times I)$ and $\Psi : W|_{B \times I} \rightarrow \Theta^n(B \times I)$. Furthermore, the transition function is defined to be

$$\Psi^{-1}((1, t), u) = \Phi^{-1}((1, t), g_t u) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^{-1}((-1, t), u) = \Phi^{-1}((-1, t), h_t u)$$

for $\pm 1 \in S^1, t \in [0, 1]$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Finally, Lemma 7.15 implies that

$$V \cong W|_{S^1 \times \{0\}} \cong W|_{S^1 \times \{1\}} \cong \Theta^n(S^1).$$

Therefore equivalence classes of vector bundles over S^1 are characterized by ranks, and $K^0(S^1) \cong G(\mathbb{N}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

8 $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$

The main result of this section is the proof of the equivalence of K-theories. When X is compact Hausdorff, then $C(X)$ is a unital C*-algebra, and it makes sense to ask if the two definitions of K-theories agree.

Theorem 8.1. *Let X be compact Hausdorff. Then $K_0(C(X)) \cong K^0(X)$ as abelian groups.*

Now we will develop some results necessary to prove this theorem.

Definition 8.2. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. For $E \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$, and $x \in X$, let $\text{Ran } E(x)$ be the image of $E(x)$. That is, if E is $n \times n$, then $\text{Ran } E(x) = E(x)\mathbb{C}^n$. Define $\text{Ran } E = \bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcup_{v \in \text{Ran } E(x)} (x, v)$.

Proposition 8.3. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$. Then $\text{Ran } E$ is a vector bundle over X .*

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in X$ and let

$$U = \{x \in X : \|E(x_0) - E(x)\|_{op} < 1\}$$

As E and the operator norm are both continuous, the set U is the pull back of $(-\infty, 1)$ through a continuous function, and is hence open. Observe that for any $x_1 \in X$, the element $I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1)$ is within distance 1 from I_n , and as such is an invertible matrix. Also, for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1))E(x_1)v &= E(x_1)v + E(x_0)E(x_1)v - E(x_1)E(x_1)v \\ &= E(x_1)v + E(x_0)E(x_1)v - E(x_1)v \\ &= E(x_0)E(x_1)v \end{aligned}$$

So $I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1)$ maps $\text{Ran } E(x_1)$ into $\text{Ran } E(x_0)$, and since this is an invertible matrix, we have that $\dim \text{Ran } E(x_0) \geq \dim \text{Ran } E(x_1)$. A similar calculation shows that

$$(I_n - E(x_0) + E(x_1))(\text{Ran } E(x_0)) \subseteq \text{Ran } E(x_1)$$

Thus we see that $\text{Ran } E(x_0)$ and $\text{Ran } E(x_1)$ have the same dimension, and $I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1)$ maps $\text{Ran } E(x_1)$ to $\text{Ran } E(x_0)$ isomorphically. Thus, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \text{Ran } E|_U &\rightarrow U \times \text{Ran } E(x_0) \\ (x, v) &\mapsto (x, (I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1))v) \end{aligned}$$

is a bundle isomorphism. So $\text{Ran } E$ is locally trivial, thus is a vector bundle. ■

Proposition 8.4. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $E, F \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$. Then $\text{Ran } E \cong \text{Ran } F$ as bundles if and only if $E \sim_u F$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Ran } Q \cong \text{Ran } (\text{diag}(Q, 0_r))$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we can take some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that E and F are both in $M_n(C(X))$.

Suppose that $E \sim_u F$. Then we can find $U \in \mathcal{U}_n(C(X))$ such that $UEU^* = F$. Define $\gamma : \text{Ran } E \rightarrow \text{Ran } F$ by

$$\gamma(x, E(x)v) = (x, U(x)E(x)v) = (x, F(x)U(x)v) \in \text{Ran } F(x),$$

for $x \in X$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$. It has the inverse map

$$\gamma^{-1}(x, F(x)v) = (x, U^*(x)F(x)v) = (x, E(x)U^*(x)v).$$

So γ is a bundle isomorphism between $\text{Ran } E$ and $\text{Ran } F$.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{Ran } E$ and $\text{Ran } F$ are isomorphic vector bundles. Let $\varphi : \text{Ran } E \rightarrow \text{Ran } F$ be a bundle isomorphism. We define matrices $A, B \in M_n(C(X))$ as follows. For $f \in (C(X))^n$, let $Af = \varphi(Ef)$ and $Bf = \varphi^{-1}(Ff)$. Then

$$ABf = A(\varphi^{-1}(Ff)) = \varphi(E(\varphi^{-1}(Ff))).$$

However $\varphi^{-1}(Ff)$ is a continuous section of $\text{Ran } E$, so

$$ABf = \varphi(E(\varphi^{-1}(Ff))) = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(Ff)) = Ff$$

Which shows that $AB = F$. A similar computation shows that $BA = E$. Also,

$$EBf = E\varphi^{-1}(Ff) = \varphi^{-1}(Ff) = Bf$$

and

$$BFf = \varphi^{-1}(FFf) = \varphi^{-1}(Ff) = Bf.$$

So $EB = B = BF$. Similarly, $FA = A = AE$.

Now define

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A & I_n - F \\ I_n - E & B \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2n}(C(X)).$$

With the observations above it is straightforward to check that T is invertible, with inverse

$$T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B & I_n - E \\ I_n - F & A \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T \operatorname{diag}(E, 0_n) T^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} A & I_n - F \\ I_n - E & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & I_n - E \\ I_n - F & A \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & I_n - E \\ I_n - F & A \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{diag}(F, 0_n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus E is similar to F through an invertible matrix T . Since E and F are normal and similar to each other, they are in fact unitarily equivalent by Proposition 3.14. ■

Corollary 8.5. *Let X be compact Hausdorff. The range map*

$$\operatorname{Ran} : \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X)) / \sim_u \rightarrow \operatorname{Vect}(X)$$

mapping

$$[E] \mapsto [\operatorname{Ran} E]$$

is well-defined and injective.

Proposition 8.6. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose that V is a subbundle of $\Theta^N(X)$. Let $\Theta^N(X)$ be equipped with the standard Hermitian metric, and for $x \in X$, let $E(x)$ be the orthogonal projection of $\Theta^N(X)|_x$ onto $V|_x$. Then the map $E : x \mapsto E(x)$ defines an idempotent $E \in M_N(C(X))$.*

Proof. By using Lemma 7.7 again, we only need to show that each $x_0 \in X$ has an open neighbourhood for which $E|_U : x \mapsto E(x)$ is continuous on U . Fix x_0 and choose U to be a connected open neighbourhood of x_0 over which V is trivial. Let n be the rank of V , and let $\varphi : \Theta^n(U) \rightarrow V|_U$ be a bundle isomorphism. For $k = 1, \dots, n$, define $s_k : U \rightarrow \Theta^n(U)$ by $s_k(x) = (x, e_k)$, the k^{th} standard basis vector lying above x . Then for each $x \in U$, the set

$$\{\varphi(s_1(x)), \varphi(s_2(x)), \dots, \varphi(s_n(x))\}$$

is a vector space basis for $V|_x$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the standard Hermitian metric of $\Theta^N(U)$ restricted to V . By the Gram-Schmidt process, we obtain an orthogonal basis of $V|_x$ by defining inductively

$$s'_k(x) = \varphi(s_k(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle \varphi(s_k(x)), s'_i(x) \rangle}{\langle s'_i(x), s'_i(x) \rangle} s'_i(x)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then the set

$$\left\{ \frac{s'_1(x)}{\|s'_1(x)\|}, \dots, \frac{s'_n(x)}{\|s'_n(x)\|} \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $V|_x$ equipped with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Moreover, the map $x \mapsto \frac{s'_1(x)}{\|s'_1(x)\|}$ is continuous. Finally, for E the orthogonal projection as in the statement, we have

$$E(x)w = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\langle \varphi(x, w), \frac{s'_k(x)}{\|s'_k(x)\|} \right\rangle \frac{s'_k(x)}{\|s'_k(x)\|}$$

and the above is jointly continuous in $x \in X$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Therefore $x \mapsto E(x)$ is continuous. ■

Corollary 8.7. *Let V be a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space X . Then $V \cong \text{Ran } E$ for some $E \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$. Hence the map*

$$\text{Ran} : \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X)) / \sim_u \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$$

is surjective.

Proof. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V is isomorphic to a subbundle of $\Theta^N(X)$. So assume that V is embedded in $\Theta^N(X)$, and let $\Theta^N(X)$ be equipped with the canonical metric. For each $x \in X$ let $E(x)$ be the orthogonal projection of $\Theta^N(X)_x$ onto V_x . By Proposition 8.6, $x \mapsto E(x)$ defines an element in $E \in \mathcal{P}_N(X)$, and $\text{Ran } E = V$. ■

Corollary 8.8. *Let V be a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space X . Then there exists another vector bundle V^\perp over X such that $V \oplus V^\perp \cong \Theta^N(X)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We know that there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V is isomorphic to a subbundle of $\Theta^N(X)$. For each $x \in X$, let $E(x)$ be the orthogonal projection of $\Theta^N(X)_x$ onto V_x . By Proposition 8.6, this family of projections defines an element $E \in \mathcal{P}_N(C(X))$. Define $V^\perp = \text{Ran}(I_N - E)$. Then

$$V \oplus V^\perp \cong \text{Ran } E \oplus \text{Ran}(I_N - E) = \text{Ran } I_N = \Theta^N(X). \blacksquare$$

Theorem 8.9. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then $\mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$ and $\text{Vect}(X)$ are isomorphic as abelian monoids.*

Proof. Define $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X)) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$ by $\Psi([E]) = [\text{Ran } E]$. By Corollaries 8.5 and 8.7, Ψ is well-defined, injective and surjective. It is left to show that it is a monoid homomorphism, i.e. $\text{Ran}(E \oplus F) \cong \text{Ran } E \oplus \text{Ran } F$. But this is obvious, as they are not just isomorphic, but are in fact equal. \blacksquare

Corollary 8.10. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$ as abelian groups.*

Proof. Apply the Grothendieck completion to the isomorphism obtained in Theorem 8.9 to obtain

$$K^0(X) = G(\text{Vect}(X)) \cong G(\mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))) = K_0(C(X)). \blacksquare$$

For X a compact Hausdorff space and V a topological vector bundle over X , we write $[V]^0$ for the element in $K^0(X)$ that is represented by V .

Proposition 8.11. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, then*

$$K^0(X) = \{[V]^0 - [W]^0 : V, W \text{ vector bundles over } X\}.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 8.10 and Proposition 4.3. \blacksquare

Now that we've shown that $K^0(X)$ and $K_0(C(X))$ are isomorphic as abelian groups, we will verify that the associated morphisms are preserved by this identification.

Definition 8.12. Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map and let V be a rank r subbundle of some trivial bundle $\Theta^n(Y)$ of Y . (By Proposition 7.8 all vector bundles over Y are isomorphic to a bundle of this form). Define the pull-back of V via f , written $f^*(V)$, to be the rank r subbundle of $\Theta^n(X)$ where the fibre at a point $x \in X$ is $(f^*(V))_x = V_{f(x)}$.

Proposition 8.13. *Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ continuous and V is a subbundle of $\Theta^n(Y)$. Then $f^*(V)$ is indeed a vector bundle on X .*

Proof. Take any $x \in X$, let U be an open neighbourhood of $f(x)$ in Y for which $V|_U$ is trivial. Then $f^{-1}(U)$ is an open neighbourhood of x and $f^*(V)|_{f^{-1}(U)} = f^*(V|_U)$ is trivial. ■

Proposition 8.14. *Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous, and $E \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(Y))$. Then $f^*(E)$ is a projection in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$, and $f^*(\text{Ran } E) = \text{Ran } f^*(E)$.*

Proof. For $x \in X$,

$$(E \circ f)(x) \cdot (E \circ f)(x) = E(f(x))E(f(x)) = EE(f(x)) = E \circ f(x)$$

and

$$(E \circ f)^*(x) = (E \circ f(x))^* = E^*(f(x)) = E \circ f(x).$$

So $E \circ f$ is a projection. Furthermore, suppose E is $n \times n$. Then

$$f^*(\text{Ran } E)_x = (\text{Ran } E)_{f(x)} = E(f(x))\mathbb{C}^n = (\text{Ran } f^*(E))_x.$$

Therefore $f^*(\text{Ran } E) = \text{Ran } f^*(E)$. ■

Definition 8.15. Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. Then f^* is a $*$ -homomorphism from $C(Y)$ to $C(X)$. Define $K^0(f) : K^0(Y) \rightarrow K^0(X)$ by

$$K^0(f)([V]_0 - [W]_0) = [f^*(V)]_0 - [f^*(W)]_0.$$

Remark 8.16. According to Proposition 8.14, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map then by identifying $K^0(Y)$ with $K_0(C(Y))$ and $K^0(X)$ with $K_0(C(X))$, we conclude that $K^0(f)$ and $K_0(f^*)$ are the same map. To be precise, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^0(Y) & \xrightarrow{K^0(f)} & K^0(X) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_0(C(Y)) & \xleftarrow{K_0(f^*)} & K_0(C(X)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proposition 8.17. *The map $X \mapsto K^0(X)$ is a covariant functor from the category of compact Hausdorff spaces to the category of abelian groups.*

Proof. Let X, Y, Z be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be continuous. Consider the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^0(Z) & \xrightarrow{K^0(g)} & K^0(Y) & \xrightarrow{K^0(f)} & K^0(X) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_0(C(Z)) & \xleftarrow{K_0(g^*)} & K_0(C(Y)) & \xleftarrow{K_0(f^*)} & K_0(C(X)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^0(Z) & \xrightarrow{K^0(f \circ g)} & K^0(X) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_0(C(Z)) & \xleftarrow{K_0((f \circ g)^*)} & K_0(C(X)) \end{array}$$

Since K_0 is a functor, we have

$$K_0((f \circ g)^*) = K_0(g^* \circ f^*) = K_0(g^*) \circ K_0(f^*).$$

Hence the first rows of the two diagrams imply that $K^0(f \circ g) = K^0(g) \circ K^0(f)$. The fact that $K^0(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{K^0(X)}$ also follows from the functoriality of K_0 and Remark 8.16 in a similar way. ■

Example 8.18. Let $X = \{*\}$ be a point. Then $C(X) \cong \mathbb{C}$. By Example 2.18 and Corollary 8.10, we see that $K^0(X) \cong K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

9 K-theory of locally compact spaces

The K-theory of locally compact spaces correspond to the K-theory of non-unital C^* -algebras.

Definition 9.1. Let X be a topological space. We say X is locally compact if for every $x \in X$ there exists some open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X$ of x such that the closure \bar{U} of U in X is compact.

Definition 9.2. Let X be a locally compact space. Define X^+ to be the set $X \sqcup \{\infty\}$ with the collection of open sets given by

$$\mathcal{T}^+ := \{U \subseteq X : U \text{ open in } X\} \cup \{(X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} : F \text{ closed and compact in } X\}.$$

Proposition 9.3. *Let X be a topological space, then X^+ is a compact topological space. Moreover, $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\}$ is homeomorphic to X in the obvious way.*

Proof. We first check that the collection of open sets \mathcal{T}^+ is a topology on X^+ .

1. The empty set \emptyset is open in X , so $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}^+$. The empty set \emptyset is obviously closed and compact, so $X^+ = (X \setminus \emptyset) \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}^+$.

2. Define

$$\mathcal{T}_0 := \{U : U \text{ open in } X\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_1 := \{(X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} : F \text{ closed and compact in } X\}.$$

Clearly \mathcal{T}_0 is closed under arbitrary union. Let $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ be an arbitrary collection of closed compact subsets of X . Then $F := \bigcap_{i \in I} F_i$ is clearly closed. Pick any $i_0 \in I$. Then F is a closed subset of the compact set F_{i_0} , thus F is also compact. Then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (X \setminus F_i) \cup \{\infty\} = (X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}_1.$$

So \mathcal{T}_1 is closed under arbitrary union. Finally, take $U \in \mathcal{T}_0$ and $(X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U \cup (X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} &= (X \setminus (X \setminus U)) \cup (X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} \\ &= (X \setminus ((X \setminus U) \cap F)) \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}_1 \end{aligned}$$

because $(X \setminus U) \cap F$ is closed and compact (it is a closed subset of F). Therefore $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0 \cup \mathcal{T}_1$ is closed under arbitrary union.

3. Clearly \mathcal{T}_0 is closed under finite intersection. A finite union of compact closed sets is also closed and compact, so \mathcal{T}_1 is also closed under finite intersection. Lastly, suppose U is open and F is closed and compact, then

$$U \cap ((X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\}) = U \cap (X \setminus F) \in \mathcal{T}_1.$$

Therefore \mathcal{T} is closed under finite intersection.

The above verifies that \mathcal{T} is a topology on X . The subspace topology on $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\}$ is \mathcal{T}_0 , which coincides with the topology on X . Hence $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\} \cong X$. Next we check that X^+ is compact.

Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover for X^+ . Since this collection covers the point ∞ , there exists some $i_0 \in I$ such that $U_{i_0} \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Then $X^+ \setminus U_{i_0}$ is a compact subset of X , hence also a compact subset of X^+ , so there exists a finite subset $J \subseteq I$ for which $X^+ \setminus U_{i_0} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in J} U_i$. Whence $\{U_i : i \in J \cup \{i_0\}\}$ is a finite cover for X^+ . Therefore X^+ is compact. ■

Remark 9.4. The space X^+ is called the one point compactification of X .

Proposition 9.5. *Let X be a locally compact topological space. If X is Hausdorff then X^+ is also Hausdorff.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{T}_0 be \mathcal{T}_1 be as defined in the proof of Proposition 9.3. By Proposition 9.3 we know that $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\} \cong X$ is Hausdorff. Fix $x \in X^+ \setminus \{\infty\}$ and let U be an open neighbourhood of x where \overline{U} is compact in X . Then $V := X^+ \setminus \overline{U}$ is an open neighbourhood of ∞ , and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Therefore X^+ is Hausdorff. ■

Proposition 9.6. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $x_0 \in X$. The map $f : X \rightarrow (X \setminus x_0)^+$ given by*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & : x \neq x_0 \\ \infty & : x = x_0 \end{cases}$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that f is bijective. It is also clear that for any $S \subseteq X \setminus \{x_0\}$, S is open in X if and only if $f(S)$ is open in $(X \setminus \{x_0\})^+$.

Suppose $U \subseteq X$ is an open neighbourhood of x_0 . Let $F = X \setminus U$. Since F is a closed subset of X , it is compact. Also,

$$U = ((X \setminus \{x_0\}) \setminus F) \cup \{x_0\}.$$

On the other hand, suppose $F \subseteq X \setminus \{x_0\}$ is closed and compact, then

$$((X \setminus \{x_0\}) \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} = X \setminus F$$

is an open neighbourhood of x_0 . Hence $x_0 \in X$ and $\infty \in (X \setminus \{x_0\})^+$ have the “same” open neighbourhoods. It follows that a subset $S \subseteq X$ containing x_0 is open if and only if $f(S)$ is open. Therefore f is a homeomorphism. ■

Definition 9.7. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Define $C_0(X)$ to be the set of all continuous functions $f \in C(X)$ satisfying the following: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset $F \subseteq X$ such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X \setminus F$.

Proposition 9.8. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let $f \in C_0(X)$. Define \tilde{f} on X^+ to be

$$\tilde{f} = \begin{cases} f(x) & : x \in X \\ 0 & : x = \infty \end{cases}.$$

Then $\tilde{f} \in C(X^+)$. If $h \in C(X^+)$ satisfies $h(\infty) = 0$, then $h|_X \in C_0(X)$ and $\widetilde{h|_X} = h$.

Proof. It is clear that \tilde{f} is continuous on $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\}$, so we only need to check that \tilde{f} is continuous at ∞ . Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, by the definition of $C_0(X)$, there exists a compact subset $F \subseteq X$ such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X \setminus F$. But $U := (X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\}$ is an open neighbourhood of ∞ . We have $|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(\infty)| = |\tilde{f}(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in U$. Therefore \tilde{f} is continuous.

The second part of the proof follows essentially the same proof. ■

Proposition 9.9. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let I_X denote the identity element of $\widetilde{C_0(X)}$ and let 1_{X^+} denote the constant function 1 on X^+ . Define $\varphi : \widetilde{C_0(X)} \rightarrow C(X^+)$ by $\varphi(f) = \tilde{f}$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$ and $\varphi(I) = \varphi(1_{X^+})$ and extend linearly. Then φ is a C^* -algebra isomorphism.

Proof. It is easy to see that φ is a $*$ -homomorphism. Suppose

$$0 = \varphi(f + zI_X) = \tilde{f} + z1_{X^+}$$

for some $f \in C_0(X)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$z = (\tilde{f} + z1_{X^+})(\infty) = 0.$$

It then follows that $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, so $f = 0$. Hence φ is injective.

Take any $h \in C(X^+)$ and let $z = h(\infty)$. By Proposition 9.8 the function $(h - z1_{X^+})|_X \in C_0(X)$. Also, $\varphi((h - z1_{X^+}) + zI_X) = h$. This shows that φ is surjective. Therefore φ is an isomorphism. ■

Definition 9.10. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let $\iota : \{\infty\} \rightarrow X^+$ be the inclusion map. Define $K^0(X) := \ker K^0(\iota) \subseteq K^0(X^+)$.

Remark 9.11. Suppose X is a locally compact Hausdorff space and $\iota : \{\infty\} \rightarrow X^+$ is the inclusion map. The induced $*$ -homomorphism $\iota^* : C(X^+) \rightarrow C(\{\infty\})$ does the following:

$$\iota^*(\tilde{f}) = \tilde{f} \circ \iota = 0, \quad \forall f \in C_0(X)$$

and

$$\iota^*(1_{X^+}) = 1_{X^+} \circ \iota = 1_{\{\infty\}}.$$

This means that $\iota : C(X^+) \rightarrow C(\{\infty\})$ is the projection onto the one dimensional subspace generated by the identity element and $\ker \iota = C_0(X)$. Whence in light of Remark 8.16 and Proposition 9.9, $K^0(X)$ is isomorphic to $K_0(C_0(X))$ in the expected way.

9.1 Relative and reduced K-theory

Definition 9.12. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let A be a compact subset of X . Let $\iota : A \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. Then $K^0(\iota)$ is a group homomorphism $K^0(X) \rightarrow K^0(A)$. Define $K^0(X, A)$ to be $\ker(K^0(\iota))$. The group $K^0(X, A)$ is called the relative K-group of the compact pair (X, A) .

Proposition 9.13. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then $K^0(X) \cong K^0(X^+, \infty)$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Remark 9.11. ■

Proposition 9.14. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and fix $x_0 \in X$. Then $K^0(X) \cong K^0(X, x_0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $\iota : \{x_0\} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map, and let $\lambda : X \rightarrow \{x_0\}$ be the only constant map. Consider the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K^0(X, x_0) \longrightarrow K^0(X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K^0(\iota)} \\ \xleftarrow{K^0(\lambda)} \end{array} K^0(\{x_0\}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the definition of $K^0(X, x_0)$, this sequence is exact. Furthermore, $\iota \circ \lambda = \text{id}_{\{x_0\}}$, then by the functoriality of K^0 we have that

$$K^0(\lambda) \circ K^0(\iota) = K^0(\iota \circ \lambda) = K^0(\text{id}_{\{x_0\}}) = \text{id}_{K^0(\{x_0\})}.$$

Hence the above is a split exact sequence of abelian groups. Therefore $K^0(X) \cong K^0(X, x_0) \oplus K^0(\{x_0\})$. Lastly, by Example 8.18 we have $K^0(\{x_0\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. ■

Remark 9.15. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Let G_0 be the subgroup of $K^0(X)$ generated by $[\Theta^1(X)]_0$. Since

$$[\Theta^n(X)]_0 + [\Theta^m(X)]_0 = [\Theta^n(X) \oplus \Theta^m(X)]_0 = [\Theta^{n+m}(X)]_0,$$

we have that $G_0 = \{\pm[\Theta^n(X)]_0 : n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Fix $x_0 \in X$, and let $\iota_{x_0} : \{x_0\} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. Then

$$K^0(\iota_{x_0})([\Theta^n(X)]_0) = [\iota_{x_0}^*(\Theta^n(X))]_0 = [\Theta^n(\{x_0\})]_0,$$

which corresponds to $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \cong K^0(\{x_0\})$. Hence $K^0(\iota_{x_0})|_{G_0} \rightarrow K^0(\{x_0\})$ is an isomorphism for any $x_0 \in X$. Thus we have that $K^0(X, x_0) \cong K^0(X)/G_0$ for any $x_0 \in X$. More importantly, we have that $K^0(X, x_0) \cong K^0(X, x_1)$ for any $x_0, x_1 \in X$.

Definition 9.16. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Define the **reduced K-group** of X , denoted $\tilde{K}^0(X)$, to be $K^0(X, x_0)$ for any choice of $x_0 \in X$.

Remark 9.17. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and fix $x_0 \in X$. By Proposition 9.13 we have $\tilde{K}^0(X) \cong K^0(X, \{x_0\})$. By Remark 9.15, the definition of $\tilde{K}^0(X)$ is independent of the choice $x_0 \in X$.

10 Functorial properties of K^0

10.1 Homotopy invariance

Definition 10.1. Let X and Y be topological spaces and let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous maps. We say f is **homotopic** to g if there exists a continuous map $f_\bullet : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow Y$ mapping $(t, x) \mapsto f_t(x)$ such that $f_0(x) = f(x)$ and $f_1(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 10.2. Let X and Y be topological spaces. Then X is said to be **homotopic** to Y if there exist continuous maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ g$ is homotopic to id_Y and $g \circ f$ is homotopic to id_X .

Lemma 10.3. Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $\varphi_\bullet : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow Y$ mapping $(t, x) \mapsto \varphi_t(x)$ be continuous. Then the map $t \mapsto (\varphi_t)^*(f) = f \circ \varphi_t$ is continuous from $[0, 1]$ to $C(X)$ for any $f \in C(Y)$.

Proof. Let $f \in C(Y)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then $f \circ \varphi_\bullet : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. By continuity, for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in X$, there exists $\delta_t > 0$ and an open neighbourhood $U_x \subseteq X$ of x such that

$$|f \circ \varphi_s(y) - f \circ \varphi_t(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for every $s \in B_{\delta_t}(t) \cap [0, 1]$ and $y \in U_x$. By compactness, X can be covered by a finite collection of open sets of the form U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_k} . Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_{t_1}, \dots, \delta_{t_k}\} > 0$. Then for any $x \in X$,

$$|(\varphi_s)^*(f)(x) - (\varphi_t)^*(f)(x)| = |f \circ \varphi_s(x) - f \circ \varphi_t(x)| < \varepsilon,$$

so $\|(\varphi_s)^*(f) - (\varphi_t)^*(f)\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. ■

Proposition 10.4. Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy between X and Y . Then $f^* : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ and $g^* : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ give a homotopy between $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$.

Proof. By assumption $g \circ f$ is homotopic to the identity map id_X on X . Hence there exists a continuous family $\varphi_t : X \rightarrow X$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_X$ and $\varphi_1 = g \circ f$. By Lemma 10.3, $(\varphi_\bullet)^*$ is a homotopy from $(\varphi_0)^* = (\text{id}_X)^* = \text{id}_{C(X)}$ to $(\varphi_1)^* = (g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$. Similarly $g^* \circ f^*$ is homotopic to $\text{id}_{C(Y)}$. ■

Corollary 10.5. *Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homotopy. Then $K^0(f) : K^0(Y) \rightarrow K^0(X)$ is a group isomorphism.*

Proof. By Proposition 10.4 we see that $f^* : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ is a homotopy. It follows by Proposition 6.2 that $K_0(f^*)$ is an isomorphism, whence Remark 8.16 gives us the conclusion that $K^0(f)$ is an isomorphism. ■

Example 10.6. Let $X = [0, 1]$. Then X is homotopic to a point. Hence by Corollary 10.5 and Example 8.18, we have

$$K_0(C([0, 1])) \cong K^0([0, 1]) \cong K^0(\{*\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 10.7. The functor K^0 is not homotopy-invariant for locally compact Hausdorff spaces. In Example 7.17 we saw that $K^0(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The unit circle S^1 is homeomorphic to the one point compactification of \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{R} is homotopic to a point. However, Proposition 9.14 says that $K^0(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K^0(S^1)$, which implies that $K^0(\mathbb{R}) \cong 0$. On the other hand, the K^0 -group of a point is \mathbb{Z} , as shown in Example 10.6, which is not isomorphic to $K^0(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 10.8. We will now exhibit an example that shows K_0 is not an exact functor.

Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0((0, 1)) \xrightarrow{\iota} C([0, 1]) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Where

$$(\iota(f))(t) := \begin{cases} f(t) & : t \in (0, 1) \\ 0 & : t \in \{0, 1\} \end{cases}$$

for any $f \in C_0((0, 1))$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, and

$$\pi(g) := (g(0), g(1))$$

for any $g \in C([0, 1])$. It is left to the reader to check that this sequence is exact.

Corollary 6.7 and Example 8.18 give us the isomorphism

$$K_0(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}) \cong K_0(\mathbb{C}) \oplus K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

On the other hand $\mathbb{C}([0, 1]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by Example 10.6. The map $K_0(\pi) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is not a surjection, since \mathbb{Z} is generated by one element but $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ cannot be generated by one element. Therefore the functor K_0 does not take the short exact sequence in consideration to a short exact sequence of abelian groups.

10.2 Half-exactness of \tilde{K}^0

Proposition 10.9. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let A be a closed subset of X . Define $I(A)$ to be all the continuous functions $f \in C(X)$ that vanish on A , i.e. $f(A) = \{0\}$. Then the following are true*

1. $I(A)$ is a closed ideal of $C(X)$.
2. $I(A) \cong C_0(X \setminus A)$.
3. Let $[A]$ denote the point corresponding to A in the quotient X/A . Then $(X/A) \setminus \{[A]\} \cong X \setminus A$ as locally compact Hausdorff spaces.
4. $I(A) \cong C_0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\})$.
5. $C(X)/I(A) \cong C(A)$.

Proof. 1. Let $f \in I(A)$ and $g \in C(X)$, then

$$(f \cdot g)(a) = f(a)g(a) = 0g(a) = 0$$

for all $a \in A$, so $f \cdot g \in I(A)$. Clearly if a convergent sequence of functions vanish on A then so does the limit. Hence $I(A)$ is a closed ideal in $C(X)$.

2. Let $\varphi : C_0(X \setminus A) \rightarrow C(X)$ be defined by

$$\varphi(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & : x \in X \setminus A \\ 0 & : x \in A \end{cases}$$

for all $f \in C_0(X \setminus A)$ and $x \in X$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X$ with $A \subseteq U$ satisfying $|\varphi(f)(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in U$. Hence we see that $\varphi(f) \in C(X)$ for all $f \in C_0(X \setminus A)$. It is also clear from definition that the image of φ is contained in $I(A)$. We also define a map $\psi : I(A) \rightarrow C(X \setminus A)$ by

$$\psi(g)(x) = g(x)$$

for all $g \in I(A)$ and $x \in X \setminus A$. Since $g(A) = \{0\}$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U \supseteq A$ satisfying $|g(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in U$. Hence $\psi(g) \in C_0(X \setminus A)$. It is easy to check that φ and ψ are mutual inverses. Therefore $C_0(X \setminus A) \cong I(A)$.

3. This is obvious.

4. This is a consequence of 2 and 3.

5. Define $\varphi : C(X)/I(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ by letting $\varphi([f]) = f|_A$. If $[f] = [g]$, then $(f - g)|_A = 0$, so $\varphi([f]) = \varphi([g])$. Hence φ is well-defined.

Define $\psi : C(A) \rightarrow C(X)/I(A)$ as follows. Fix $h \in C(A)$, by Tietze's extension theorem [7] the function h extends to a continuous function $\tilde{h} \in C(X)$. Let $\psi(h) = [\tilde{h}]$. It is easy to check that φ and ψ are mutual inverses. Therefore

$$C(A) \cong C(X)/I(A). \blacksquare$$

Corollary 10.10. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let A be a closed subset of X . Under the identifications $I(A) \cong C_0(X \setminus A)$ and $C(A) \cong C(X)/I(A)$, the following sequence is exact:*

$$K_0(C_0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\})) \longrightarrow K_0(C(X)) \longrightarrow K_0(C(A))$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I(A) & \longrightarrow & C(X) & \longrightarrow & C(X)/I(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\}) & \longrightarrow & C(X) & \longrightarrow & C(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The upper row is clearly exact. The isomorphisms from the upper row to the lower row are given by Lemma 10.9. By the half-exactness of the functor K_0 6.5, we obtain the exactness of the K_0 -groups. \blacksquare

Corollary 10.11. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let A be a closed subset of X . Let $\iota : A \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map and let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/A$ be the projection map. The following sequence is exact:*

$$\tilde{K}^0(X/A) \xrightarrow{K^0(\pi)} K^0(X) \xrightarrow{K^0(\iota)} K^0(A).$$

Proof. By Corollary 8.10, we know $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$ and $K^0(A) \cong K_0(C(A))$. By Remark 9.17 and Remark 9.11, we have that $K_0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\}) \cong K^0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\}) \cong \tilde{K}^0(X/A)$. To see that $K^0(\pi)$ and $K^0(\iota)$ are the maps in this exact sequence, one can take π and ι and chase through the proofs in this section. ■

Remark 10.12. The functor K^0 is not half-exact. If A is a compact subset of a compact Hausdorff space X and we take the quotient X/A , the subspace A is contracted to a point rather than deleted, and this point is not present in the corresponding C^* -algebra quotient. The point in X/A representing A detects the rank of the bundles, so we take the reduced \tilde{K}^0 to delete this extra information and make the sequence exact.

Proposition 10.13. *Let X and Y be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Then $K^0(X) \oplus K^0(Y) \cong K^0(X \sqcup Y)$.*

Proof. It can be easily verified that $C(X) \oplus C(Y) \cong C(X \sqcup Y)$. By Corollaries 6.7 and 8.10 we have

$$K^0(X) \oplus K^0(Y) \cong K_0(C(X)) \oplus K_0(C(Y)) \cong K_0(C(X) \oplus C(Y)) \cong K^0(X \sqcup Y). \blacksquare$$

11 What's next

Computing the K_0 or K^0 group can be very difficult even with the machinery we have developed. The next step is to define the higher K -groups by $K_{n+1}(A) := K_n(SA)$ or $K^{n-1}(X) := K^n(SX)$, where S denotes the suspension of the C^* -algebra or the topological space. The isomorphism $K_n(C(X)) \cong K^{-n}(X)$ holds for all n . For a C^* -algebra and a closed ideal I , there exist connecting maps for which the long sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow K_2(A/I) \rightarrow K_1(I) \rightarrow K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(A/I) \rightarrow K_0(I) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A/I)$$

is exact. The corresponding sequence is exact for the reduced topological K -theory, with arrows pointed in the opposite direction.

The celebrated Bott Periodicity theorem says that $K_n(A) \cong K_{n+2}(A)$ (or $K^n(X) \cong K^{n+2}(X)$) for all n . This reduces the above sequence to a sequence with six elements. It also implies that if we know the K_0 - and K_1 -group of a C^* -algebra then we can read off the K -groups of its suspensions. For example, to find the K -groups of spheres of any dimension, one only needs to compute K^0 and K^1 for the two pointed space S^0 . The interested readers are referred to [1] and [4] for more details.

References

- [1] M. Atiyah, *K-Theory*, W.A.Benjamin, United States (1967).
- [2] K. R. Davidson, *C*-Algebras by Example*, American Mathematical Society, United States (1996).
- [3] A. Hatcher, *Vector Bundles and K-Theory*, <http://www.math.cornell.edu/hatcher/VBKT/VB.pdf> (2009).
- [4] F. Larsen, N. J. Laustsen and M. Rørdam, *An introduction to K-Theory for C*-Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, England (2000).
- [5] L. Marcoux, *An Introduction to Operator Algebras*, <http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~lwmarcou/Preprints/PMath810Notes.pdf> (2005).
- [6] E. Park, *Complex Topological K-Theory*, Cambridge University Press, England (2008).
- [7] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Dover, United States (2004).